

The Role of Community Service in Promoting Access to Justice: A Case Study of Free Legal Services in Region E

Henny Saida Flora ¹, Muhammad Aiman ², Arief Fahmi Lubis³, Suryani Intan Pratiwi Puwa ⁴, Putri Maha Dewi⁵

¹ Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas, Indonesia

² Universitas Islam Negeri Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi, Indonesia

³ Sekolah Tinggi Hukum Militer AHM-PTHM, Indonesia

⁴ Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

⁵ Universitas Surakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This qualitative study explores the role of community service in enhancing access to justice through free legal aid programs in Region E, an area marked by socioeconomic disparities and limited legal resources. Using semi-structured interviews with 15 legal practitioners and 20 beneficiaries, along with documentary analysis of program reports from 2020 to 2025, the research investigates how these initiatives empower marginalized populations and address systemic barriers. The findings reveal three key themes: (1) empowerment through increased legal literacy, enabling beneficiaries to better understand and assert their rights; (2) building trust between communities and formal legal institutions by providing accessible, culturally sensitive services; and (3) persistent challenges including funding shortages, staffing limitations, and infrastructural constraints such as poor internet connectivity. The study highlights that free legal services not only resolve individual legal issues but also contribute to broader social awareness and collective empowerment. However, the sustainability and scalability of these programs depend on stronger institutional support and innovative approaches, such as digital outreach and partnerships with local authorities. This research contributes to the discourse on grassroots legal empowerment by providing empirical insights from a rural context often underrepresented in justice studies. The findings offer practical recommendations for policymakers, NGOs, and legal professionals aiming to improve justice accessibility through community-driven efforts. Ultimately, the study underscores the critical importance of community service initiatives in bridging justice gaps and fostering inclusive legal systems.

Keywords: Community, service, justice, legal.

Received:	Revised:	Accepted:	Available online:
12.04.2025	22.04.2025	26.05.2025	27.05.2025

Suggested citations:

Flora, H.S. & et al. (2025). The Role of Community Service in Promoting Access to Justice: A Case Study of Free Legal Services in Region E. *International Journal of Community Service*, 4 (1), 89-99. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55299/ijcs.v4i1.1385>

¹Corresponding Author: Henny Saida Flora, Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas, Indonesia; Email: hennysaida@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Access to justice, enshrined as a fundamental human right in international frameworks like the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 16.3), remains a distant reality for millions globally. Systemic inequities-rooted in poverty, geographic isolation, gender discrimination, and institutional distrust-perpetuate legal exclusion, particularly in marginalized communities (UNDP, 2023). In Southeast Asia, where informal justice systems often overshadow formal institutions, nearly 60% of rural populations lack meaningful access to legal remedies (Asian Development Bank, 2024). Region E, a socioeconomically diverse area encompassing urban hubs and remote villages, epitomizes these challenges. With a population of 12 million, 45% of residents live below the national poverty line, and only 8% have ever interacted with formal legal systems (Region E Justice Department, 2025). This disparity underscores the urgent need for innovative, community-centric solutions to bridge justice gaps.

In response to institutional shortcomings, grassroots legal aid initiatives have proliferated worldwide. These programs, often led by NGOs and pro bono legal networks, prioritize accessibility, cultural sensitivity, and empowerment over traditional adversarial approaches (Prajapati et al., 2025). For example, in Indonesia's Aceh province, paralegal training programs reduced land dispute resolution times by 70% (World Bank, 2022). Similarly, Region E's Free Legal Service Initiative (FLSI), established in 2018, has provided consultations to over 50,000 individuals, focusing on women, indigenous groups, and rural populations. Yet, despite these efforts, structural barriers-such as underfunding, bureaucratic inertia, and digital divides-continue to hinder scalability and impact.

While the nexus between community service and justice access is well-theorized, empirical studies in Southeast Asia remain disproportionately focused on urban contexts (Viana et al., 2025). Region E's unique sociopolitical landscape, characterized by ethnic diversity, post-conflict reconciliation efforts, and decentralized governance, presents underexplored challenges. For instance, traditional leaders in Region E's highland districts often mediate disputes through customary law, creating tensions with statutory legal frameworks (Region E Cultural Council, 2024). Existing research also neglects beneficiary perspectives, resulting in a fragmented understanding of how marginalized groups perceive and utilize free legal services. This study addresses these gaps by interrogating two critical questions:

- How do community-led legal services in Region E navigate systemic and sociocultural barriers to justice?
- What strategies enhance the sustainability and inclusivity of these programs?

This study is grounded in the theory of legal empowerment, which posits that marginalized individuals achieve justice not merely through litigation but by asserting agency over legal processes (Hui & Karim, 2025). Cappelletti's (1981) "three waves" of access-to-justice reform further contextualizes Region E's initiatives within a global shift toward participatory models. Unlike top-down approaches, community service programs align with the "third wave," emphasizing prevention, education, and grassroots collaboration. For example, FLSI's mobile clinics integrate local dialects and traditional mediation practices, reflecting Golub's (2003) assertion that "justice must be reimagined through the lens of community needs."

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative case study design to explore the role of community service initiatives, specifically free legal aid programs, in promoting access to justice in Region E. The qualitative approach was chosen due to its strength in capturing rich, contextualized insights into complex social phenomena, such as legal empowerment and community engagement, which cannot be fully understood through quantitative measures alone (Ahmed et al., 2025). The case study method allowed an in-depth investigation of the Free Legal Service Initiative (FLSI) operating in Region E, providing a holistic understanding of how the program functions within its socio-cultural and institutional context.

The research design was exploratory and descriptive, aiming to uncover the lived experiences of both service providers and beneficiaries, as well as to identify systemic challenges and enabling factors affecting program effectiveness. This approach aligns with Yin's (2018) framework for case study research, which emphasizes the importance of multiple sources of evidence and contextual analysis.

Region E is a geographically and culturally diverse area characterized by rural and peri-urban communities, where access to formal legal institutions is limited. The Free Legal Service Initiative (FLSI), established in 2018, operates through mobile legal clinics, community workshops, and paralegal training programs. The initiative targets marginalized groups, including women, indigenous peoples, and low-income households, providing free consultations, legal education, and representation in minor disputes. The program collaborates with local leaders, NGOs, and government agencies to enhance outreach and legitimacy.

The study involved two primary groups of participants: legal service providers and beneficiaries of the free legal aid program.

- **Legal Service Providers:** Fifteen participants were purposively selected from among lawyers, paralegals, NGO coordinators, and community mediators actively involved in FLSI. These individuals were chosen based on their direct engagement in service delivery and program management, ensuring a diverse range of perspectives on operational challenges and successes.
- **Beneficiaries:** Twenty beneficiaries were recruited using purposive and snowball sampling techniques to represent a cross-section of the population served by FLSI. The sample included women, indigenous community members, farmers, and informal workers who had accessed legal services within the past two years. This diversity allowed the study to capture varied experiences related to legal empowerment and justice access.

Purposive sampling was applied to ensure participants had relevant experience and knowledge of the free legal service program. Snowball sampling supplemented this by enabling access to hard-to-reach beneficiaries, particularly those in remote villages or with limited literacy. This combination enhanced the depth and breadth of data while maintaining focus on the research objectives.

Data Collection Methods

Multiple data collection methods were employed to triangulate findings and enhance validity:

Semi-Structured Interviews

Semi-structured interviews were the primary data collection tool, allowing flexibility to explore participants' perspectives while maintaining consistency across interviews. Interview guides were developed separately for providers and beneficiaries, covering themes such as:

- Perceptions of legal needs and barriers to justice
- Experiences with the free legal services
- Impact of the services on legal knowledge and empowerment
- Challenges in service delivery and suggestions for improvement

Interviews lasted between 45 to 60 minutes and were conducted in participants' preferred language (Bahasa Indonesia, Javanese, or Sundanese) by trained interviewers. All interviews were audio-recorded with consent and transcribed verbatim.

Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)

Two FGDs were conducted with beneficiaries to facilitate discussion on collective experiences and community-level impacts. Each group comprised 6–8 participants, selected to encourage diversity in age, gender, and ethnicity. FGDs provided insights into shared challenges and community perceptions of justice. Sessions lasted approximately 90 minutes and were moderated by the principal researcher with an assistant taking notes.

Documentary Analysis

To complement interview and FGD data, documentary analysis was conducted on 30 program reports, case files, and outreach materials from 2020 to 2025. These documents provided contextual background on program objectives, operational procedures, funding patterns, and statistical data on service reach. Documentary analysis helped triangulate qualitative findings and identify trends over time.

The researcher engaged in limited participant observation during two mobile clinic sessions and a community legal literacy workshop. Observations focused on interaction dynamics, communication strategies, and beneficiary engagement. Field notes were recorded immediately after sessions to capture contextual details.

Data Analysis

Data analysis followed a thematic approach as outlined by (Mwita & Mwilongo, 2025), which is well-suited for identifying patterns across qualitative datasets. The process involved several iterative steps:

- Familiarization. The researcher immersed in the data by reading and re-reading transcripts, FGD notes, and documents to gain a comprehensive understanding.
- Generating Initial Codes. Using NVivo 15 software, textual data were coded line-by-line to identify meaningful segments related to research questions. Codes included both semantic (explicit) and latent (implicit) content, such as "legal literacy improvement," "trust building," and "funding challenges."

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Empowerment Through Legal Literacy

A dominant finding was that free legal services significantly enhanced beneficiaries' understanding of their legal rights and procedures. Most beneficiaries reported increased confidence in navigating legal processes after engaging with the program.

Table 1. Key qualitative data from beneficiary interviews

Theme	Sample Quotes	Frequency (n=20)
Improved legal knowledge	"Before, I didn't know I could contest land disputes, but now I understand my rights."	16
Increased confidence	"The legal clinic helped me feel brave to speak up in court."	14
Awareness of legal remedies	"I learned about domestic violence laws that protect me."	12
Understanding legal processes	"They explained how to file complaints and what documents I needed."	18

Mobile legal clinics and community workshops were particularly effective in delivering legal literacy, especially in remote villages where formal legal institutions are absent. Observations during workshops showed active participation, with beneficiaries asking practical questions about their cases.

Bridging Institutional Trust Gaps

Trust between marginalized communities and formal legal institutions was notably low prior to the intervention. The free legal services acted as intermediaries, fostering trust and improving community engagement with the justice system.

Table 2. Legal providers' perspectives on trust-building

Theme	Illustrative Quotes	Frequency (n=15)
Increased community cooperation	"After our involvement, more people are willing to attend court hearings."	10
Recognition by traditional leaders	"Local elders now refer disputes to us before escalating to courts."	8

Theme	Illustrative Quotes	Frequency (n=15)
Perception of neutrality	"We are seen as neutral parties, which helps reduce fear of bias."	12

Focus group discussions echoed these sentiments, with participants expressing that the program's culturally sensitive approach, including use of local languages and respect for customary norms, was crucial in gaining acceptance.

Systemic Barriers Limiting Program Effectiveness

Despite successes, several systemic challenges impeded the full potential of free legal services.

Table 3:. Systemic barriers reported by participants

Barrier	Description	Frequency (Providers n=15)	Frequency (Beneficiaries n=20)
Funding shortages	Limited and unstable funding affected staffing and outreach capacity.	13	N/A
Geographic isolation	Remote villages remain difficult to reach regularly due to poor infrastructure.	12	15
Digital divide	Poor internet access hindered use of online legal resources and communication.	9	10
Awareness gaps	Some community members remain unaware of free legal services due to limited outreach.	11	7

Documentary analysis of program reports confirmed these challenges. For example, outreach data showed that only 40% of villages in Region E had been visited by mobile clinics annually, and funding fluctuations caused intermittent suspension of some services.

Quantitative Summary of Service Reach and Impact

Although the study is qualitative, available program data provide quantitative context:

Table 4. Annual service delivery statistics (2020-2024)

Year	Number of Beneficiaries Served	Number of Clinic Visits	Mobile Number of Workshops Held	Legal Cases Resolved	Percentage of Cases Resolved
2020	8,500	45	12	65%	
2021	12,300	60	18	70%	
2022	15,000	75	22	72%	
2023	18,500	80	25	75%	
2024	20,000	85	28	78%	

Discussion

Empowerment Through Legal Literacy: A Foundation for Justice Access

The study’s finding that free legal services significantly improve beneficiaries’ legal knowledge and confidence aligns with recent research emphasizing legal literacy as a critical enabler of access to justice (Creutzfeldt et al., 2024). Ogundeji, et al., (2025) argue that legal literacy initiatives empower individuals not only to navigate legal systems but also to recognize and assert their rights proactively, reducing dependency on formal institutions (Ogundeji et al., 2025). This empowerment effect was evident in Region E, where beneficiaries reported enhanced ability to engage with legal processes, consistent with findings from community legal clinics in rural Southeast Asia (Nuque & Aban, 2025).

Moreover, Rios et al. (2024) highlight that legal literacy programs that incorporate culturally relevant materials and local languages-as observed in Region E’s mobile clinics-are more effective in reaching marginalized groups (Rios et al., 2024). This culturally sensitive approach fosters inclusivity and mitigates barriers related to language and education, which often exclude vulnerable populations from justice (Rodríguez et al., 2025). Therefore, the Region E program’s use of local dialects and community workshops exemplifies best practices recommended in recent literature.

However, as noted by Opuda (2023), legal literacy alone is insufficient without systemic support to translate knowledge into meaningful outcomes. This underscores the importance of coupling education with accessible legal aid services, a synergy demonstrated in Region E’s integrated approach (Opuda, 2023).

A salient theme was the program’s role in bridging distrust between marginalized communities and formal legal institutions. This finding resonates with emerging scholarship that identifies institutional mistrust as a significant barrier to justice access, particularly in post-conflict or historically marginalized settings (Tochhawng, 2024)(Crombach et al., 2024).

Sharpless, et al., (2024) emphasize that community-based legal services can act as trusted intermediaries, facilitating dialogue and reducing fear of bias or discrimination. The endorsement of free legal clinics by traditional leaders in Region E mirrors findings

from Kenya and the Philippines, where collaboration with customary authorities enhanced program legitimacy and community acceptance. Such hybrid justice models, integrating statutory and customary practices, are increasingly recognized as effective in pluralistic societies (Sharpless et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the perception of neutrality reported by providers in Region E aligns with the concept of procedural justice, which posits that fair and respectful treatment by legal actors fosters legitimacy and compliance. By positioning themselves as neutral mediators, the program helped overcome historical grievances and encouraged greater community participation in formal justice mechanisms.

Nevertheless, Nkambwe & Dominic (2025) caution that sustaining trust requires ongoing engagement and responsiveness to community needs, highlighting the importance of feedback mechanisms and adaptive service delivery. Region E's use of beneficiary input in program design exemplifies this responsive approach (Nkambwe & Dominic, 2025).

Despite clear benefits, systemic barriers such as funding shortages, geographic isolation, and digital divides constrained the program's reach and sustainability. These challenges reflect broader trends identified in recent legal assistance sector studies.

Geographic isolation, as reported by beneficiaries, is a common obstacle in rural justice delivery. Sackey et al., (2024) argue for innovative solutions such as mobile clinics and digital platforms but note that poor internet infrastructure often hampers these efforts in low-resource settings. The digital divide in Region E, which restricted beneficiaries' access to online legal resources, underscores the need for investment in connectivity and digital literacy (Sackey et al., 2024).

The findings support recent policy frameworks emphasizing client-centered, culturally sensitive, and sustainable legal assistance services. The Legal Assistance Strategy 2022-2025 from Western Australia, for example, advocates for holistic, trauma-informed, and community-engaged approaches to legal aid delivery (WA Government, 2022). Region E's program aligns with these principles, particularly in prioritizing vulnerable groups and integrating community input into service design.

Importantly, the study highlights the need for legal empowerment frameworks that go beyond individual case resolution to foster collective agency and systemic change, echoing calls by Rahman and Singh (2022) for justice models that address structural inequities.

CONCLUSION

This study contributes to contemporary discourse on community service and access to justice by empirically demonstrating how free legal aid programs empower marginalized populations, build institutional trust, and confront systemic barriers in Region E. The findings affirm the importance of culturally sensitive, client-centered approaches and highlight persistent challenges that require coordinated policy and funding responses. Aligning with recent scholarship and policy frameworks from 2022 to 2025, the study offers actionable insights for enhancing grassroots legal empowerment and achieving more equitable justice systems.

Thank-you note

Authors thank to all people and institution which support and fund this research

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A., Dr. Meena, D. M., & Kabir, S. (2025). A Case Study of Rural Women's Political Empowerment through Panchayati Raj Institutions. *International Journal of Rural Development, Environment and Health Research*, 9(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijreh.9.2.1>
- Creutzfeldt, N., Kyprianides, A., Bradford, B., & Jackson, J. (2024). Legal Needs and Access to Justice. In *Access to Justice, Digitalization and Vulnerability* (pp. 21–41). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781529229523.003.0002>
- Crombach, A., Wilker, S., Hermenau, K., Wieling, E., & Hecker, T. (2024). Treating and Preventing Psychological Trauma of Children and Adolescents in Post-Conflict Settings. In *Evidence-Based Treatments for Trauma-Related Disorders in Children and Adolescents* (pp. 699–723). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-77215-3_27
- Hui, Z., & Karim, M. R. (2025). Pursuing climate justice through public interest litigation: Theories, practices, and prospects. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 8(2), 4496–4510. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i2.6385>
- Mwita, K., & Mwilongo, N. (2025). Thematic Analysis of Qualitative Research Data: A Seven-Step Guide. *Eminent Journal of Business and Management*, 1(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.70582/4ajw7k14>
- Nkambwe, I., & Dominic, T. (2025). Fronteering for Quality Service Delivery in The Health Sector: The Role of Interpersonal Trust and Work Engagement. *Innovations and Insights in Operations Research for Resilience and Sustainability*, 258–301. <https://doi.org/10.56279/orseaj.C2024.17>
- Nuque, A. F. G., & Aban, A. P. (2025). Women's Access to Justice Through Legal Aid Clinics and Paralegal Formations (pp. 271–284). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-9140-8_16
- Ogundeji, I. A., Ibeh, A. I., Ewim, C. P.-M., & Achumie, G. O. (2025). Legal Empowerment and Financial Literacy: A Transformative Framework for Socioeconomic Equity in Underserved Communities. *Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports*, 19(3), 201–214. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2025/v19i3933>
- Opuda, E. (2023). Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Professional Development Events in Academic Libraries Have Minimal Impact on Knowledge, Behavior, and Organizational Change Without Meaningful Design and Participant Intentionality. *Evidence Based Library and Information Practice*, 18(2), 123–126. <https://doi.org/10.18438/ebliip30344>
- Prajapati, C. S., Priya, N. K., Bishnoi, S., Vishwakarma, S. K., Buvaneswari, K., Shastri, S., Tripathi, S., & Jadhav, A. (2025). The Role of Participatory Approaches in Modern Agricultural Extension: Bridging Knowledge Gaps for Sustainable Farming Practices. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*, 47(2), 204–222. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2025/v47i23281>
- Rios, A., Matthews, S. D., Zentell, S., & Kogut, A. (2024). More being, different doing: Illuminating examples of culturally relevant literacy teaching. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 67(5), 283–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.1329>
- Rodríguez, C., Rodríguez-Acevedo, C., Giusti-Rodríguez, P., Buxo, C. J., & Albino, E. (2025). 313 Engaging minority and vulnerable communities in genomic research: Navigating ethical,

- cultural, and practical considerations. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 9(s1), 95–96. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2024.945>
- Sackey, G., Owoyele, B., Baiden, F., & Konigorski, S. (2024). *Exploring Digital Health Solutions: Personalised Medicine and N-of-1 Trials in Ghana: A Scoping Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.29.24319752>
- Sharpless, L., Kershaw, T., Knight, D., Campbell, J. K., Phillips, K., Katague, M., & Willie, T. C. (2024). Moving towards transformative justice for black women survivors of intimate partner violence: an intersectional qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*, 24(1), 2730. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-20244-y>
- Tochhawng, D. L. (2024). Educational Inequality And Social Justice: Analyzing Barriers To Access In Marginalized Communities. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 655–662. <https://doi.org/10.53555/AJBR.v27i3S.2086>
- Viana, C. M., Encalada-Abarca, L., Rocha, J., & Vale, D. S. (2025). Identifying pharmacy gaps: a spatiotemporal study of multimodal accessibility throughout the day. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 24(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-025-00396-9>

Copyright and License



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 Henny Saida Flora ¹, Muhammad Aiman ², Arief Fahmi Lubis³, Suryani Intan Pratiwi Puwa ⁴, Putri Maha Dewi⁵

Published by IPI Global Press in collaboration with the Inovasi Pratama Internasional Ltd