

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Action: Fostering Critical Thinking Among Middle School Students

Baso Intang Sappaile^{1*)}, Virzha Aulianna Dhaksietsatsura², Eka Olivia Dewi³, Raden Roro Maya Candra Pinanta⁴, Yuliyanti⁵

¹ Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

² Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia

^{3,4} Universitas PGRI Argopuro Jember, Indonesia

⁵ Universitas Tompotika Luwuk, Indonesia

*) Correspondence: baso.sappaile@unm.ac.id

Article history: received May 24, 2025; revised June 24, 2025; accepted July 20, 2025

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Abstract

This qualitative research study investigates the implementation and effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in fostering critical thinking skills among middle school students. Grounded in constructivist learning theory and Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development, this study employed a comprehensive qualitative methodology to examine how PBL influences students' analytical reasoning, problem-solving capabilities, and collaborative learning. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, focus group discussions, and analysis of student work samples over a 12-week period. Thematic analysis revealed four key themes: enhanced analytical reasoning, collaborative problem-solving, metacognitive awareness, and transfer of learning skills. Findings demonstrate that PBL significantly enhances middle school students' critical thinking abilities through authentic problem-solving experiences that engage them in higher-order thinking processes. The study contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting active learning pedagogies and provides practical insights for educators seeking to implement effective critical thinking instruction in middle school environments.

Keywords: Problem-Based Learning, Critical Thinking, Middle School Education, Qualitative Research, Active Learning, Constructivist Learning Theory

I. INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has brought unprecedented challenges that require individuals equipped with sophisticated critical thinking skills to analyze complex problems, evaluate evidence, and make informed decisions. Traditional educational approaches, predominantly characterized by teacher-centered instruction and passive learning, have proven inadequate in developing these essential cognitive competencies. Middle school education, serving students typically aged 11-14 years, represents a crucial developmental period where students transition from concrete operational thinking to formal operational reasoning, making it an optimal time for cultivating critical thinking abilities (Nguyen et al., 2025).

Critical thinking, defined as the ability to analyze information systematically, evaluate evidence objectively, and synthesize conclusions through logical reasoning, has emerged as a fundamental skill for academic success and civic participation. Research consistently demonstrates that students who develop strong critical thinking capabilities demonstrate improved academic performance, enhanced problem-solving skills, and greater creativity in approaching complex challenges (Laili et al., 2024).

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) has gained significant recognition as an effective pedagogical approach for fostering critical thinking skills. Originally developed at McMaster University in the 1960s for medical education, PBL engages students in authentic, real-world problem-solving scenarios that require collaborative investigation and knowledge construction. Unlike traditional instructional methods that emphasize knowledge transmission, PBL positions students as active investigators who must identify problems, gather relevant information, develop hypotheses, and implement solutions (Haridza & Irving, 2017).

The theoretical foundation of PBL rests upon constructivist learning principles, which emphasize that learners actively construct knowledge through experience and social interaction. Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development provides additional theoretical support, suggesting that students achieve higher levels of understanding when provided with

appropriate guidance and collaborative support. These theoretical frameworks align naturally with middle school students' developmental characteristics, including their need for autonomy, peer interaction, and meaningful learning experiences (Holster, 2023).

Despite the promising theoretical foundations and empirical evidence supporting PBL's effectiveness, research specifically examining its impact on critical thinking among middle school students remains limited. Additionally, most existing studies employ quantitative methodologies that may not capture the nuanced processes through which students develop critical thinking skills. This gap in the literature necessitates comprehensive qualitative investigation to understand how PBL influences critical thinking development in middle school contexts (Erawati & Adnyana, 2024). This study addresses these research gaps by conducting an in-depth qualitative examination of PBL implementation in middle school settings, specifically focusing on its influence on students' critical thinking development. The research aims to provide educators, curriculum designers, and educational policymakers with evidence-based insights for implementing effective critical thinking instruction that meets the unique developmental needs of middle school students.

II. METHODS

This study employed a qualitative research design to provide comprehensive understanding of how Problem-Based Learning influences critical thinking development among middle school students. Qualitative methodology was selected for its capacity to capture the nuanced processes through which students develop critical thinking skills and to provide rich, contextual insights that quantitative approaches might overlook.

The research utilized a multiple case study approach, examining PBL implementation across three different middle school classrooms to enhance transferability and trustworthiness of findings. This design allowed for in-depth exploration of PBL processes while enabling cross-case comparison to identify common patterns and contextual variations (Cristancho et al., 2018).

Research Setting and Participants

The study was conducted in three public middle schools located in diverse suburban communities, serving students from varying socioeconomic backgrounds. Purposeful sampling was employed to select schools that had implemented PBL approaches for at least one academic year, ensuring that teachers possessed sufficient experience with the pedagogical approach.

Participants included 45 seventh-grade students (ages 12-13) distributed across three classrooms, along with their three classroom teachers. Student participants represented diverse demographic characteristics including gender, ethnicity, and academic achievement levels to ensure comprehensive perspective representation. All participants provided informed consent/assent, and the study received approval from the institutional review board.

Teacher participants possessed varying levels of PBL experience, ranging from two to eight years of implementation. This variation provided insights into how PBL expertise influences student critical thinking development and allowed examination of implementation challenges and successes across different experience levels.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection occurred over a 12-week period during the spring semester, utilizing multiple methods to ensure comprehensive understanding and data triangulation.

Semi-structured Interviews: Individual interviews were conducted with all student participants at three time points: pre-implementation, mid-implementation, and post-implementation. Interview protocols explored students' understanding of critical thinking, problem-solving strategies, collaboration experiences, and perceived learning gains. Teacher interviews examined pedagogical decision-making, student observation, and implementation challenges.

Classroom Observations: Systematic classroom observations were conducted twice weekly in each participating classroom, totaling 72 observation sessions. Observations focused on student engagement in problem-solving activities, collaborative interactions, questioning behaviors, and evidence of critical thinking processes. Field notes documented both verbal and non-verbal behaviors, capturing the dynamic nature of PBL learning environments.

Focus Group Discussions: Four focus groups were conducted with randomly selected student subgroups to explore collective experiences and peer perspectives on PBL learning. Focus groups provided insights into social dynamics, collaborative problem-solving processes, and group construction of knowledge.

Document Analysis: Student work samples, including problem-solving artifacts, reflection journals, and peer evaluation forms, were collected and analyzed to document critical thinking development over time. These documents provided evidence of thinking processes and learning progression that might not be captured through interviews or observations.

Data Analysis Procedures

Data analysis followed established qualitative research procedures, employing thematic analysis to identify patterns and themes within the collected data. Analysis began concurrently with data collection, allowing for iterative refinement of data collection strategies and emerging theoretical insights.

Initial Coding: All interview transcripts, observation notes, and document artifacts were coded using an open coding approach, identifying emerging concepts and patterns without predetermined categories. This inductive approach ensured that themes emerged from the data rather than being imposed by existing theoretical frameworks.

Focused Coding: Initial codes were refined and consolidated into focused codes that captured key concepts related to critical thinking development, PBL processes, and student learning experiences. This stage involved constant comparison within and across data sources to identify recurring patterns and relationships.

Theoretical Coding: Focused codes were organized into broader thematic categories that explained relationships between concepts and provided theoretical understanding of how PBL influences critical thinking development. Member checking with selected participants validated interpretations and enhanced credibility.

Cross-case Analysis: Themes were compared across the three case study sites to identify common patterns and contextual variations. This analysis enhanced understanding of PBL's general effectiveness while recognizing implementation factors that influence outcomes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Research Result

Data from 45 seventh-grade students and 3 teachers were analysed through a constant-comparative procedure of open, axial and theoretical coding. This process generated 1 ,462 discrete first-cycle codes, subsequently collapsed into 37 focused codes and, ultimately, four overarching themes. Member checking with 12 students and all teachers confirmed the accuracy of theme labels and illustrative excerpts, while an independent coder achieved 91% intercoder agreement, exceeding the 80% criterion recommended for qualitative rigour.

The sample reflected the socioeconomic and demographic diversity of the three suburban campuses. Table 1 summarises key characteristics. Pseudonyms replace legal names to safeguard confidentiality.

Table 1. Demographic Participant			
Variable	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Notes
Gender			
— Female	23	51	Balanced gender representation
— Male	22	49	
Socioeconomic Status			
— Free/Reduced Lunch	18	40	Indicator of lower SES
English Language Learners	6	13	Intermediate proficiency level
Prior PBL Experience	15	33	Students with >1 year exposure

Table 2 triangulates how often each theme emerged across interviews (INT), classroom observations (OBS) and student artefacts (ART). Percentages reflect the proportion of coded segments within each source.

Table 2. Frequency of Thematic Occurrences by Data Source (% of coded segments)

Theme	Interviews (%)	Observations (%)	Artifacts (%)	Aggregate (%)
Enhanced Analytical Reasoning	32	28	25	28
Collaborative Problem-Solving	29	35	24	30
Metacognitive Awareness	22	19	29	23
Transfer of Learning Skills	17	18	22	19

Students' capacity to deconstruct complex scenarios and interrogate evidence deepened markedly over the 12-week unit. Evolution of questioning. Early sessions (Week 1–2) featured predominately factual inquiries ("What does 'nitrates' mean?"). By Week 8, 73% of student questions were analytic or evaluative ("Which variable most plausibly explains algae proliferation?").

Use of evidence matrices. Artifact analysis showed that 41 of 45 students adopted evidence matrices by Week 10 to map claim–data–warrant relationships, compared with 9 students at baseline.

Table 3. Pre- and Post-Unit Scores on Critical Thinking Rubric: Analysis & Interpretation Domain (Scale 0–4)

Measure	Pre-Unit Mean ± SD	Post-Unit Mean ± SD	Mean Difference	Percentage Gain
Analysis & Interpretation	1.78 ± 0.46	3.12 ± 0.55	+1.34	+75%

Across all sites, PBL dramatically altered peer interaction patterns. Role differentiation. Observation field notes recorded spontaneous role allocation (e.g., "evidence curator," "devil's advocate") in 26 of 30 focal groups by Week 6, compared with only 4 groups during baseline cooperative tasks. The Teacher Facilitation Log corroborated this shift, noting a 62% decline in teacher prompts for equitable participation. Conflict navigation. Disagreements moved from positional ("I think we should...") to evidence-centred ("What supports your data?"). Audio transcripts revealed that 81% of conflicts in Weeks 9–12 ended with consensus achieved through data comparison rather than majority vote.

Table 4. Conflict Resolution Strategies Observed Over Time

Strategy	Weeks 1–3	Weeks 4–6	Weeks 7–9	Weeks 10–12
Evidence Referencing	8	21	46	59
Majority Voting	27	18	7	3
Teacher Arbitration	14	9	3	0

Reflection journals (n = 540 entries) revealed an upward trajectory in self-regulation. Using a 4-level Metacognitive Coding Scale (MC1 = descriptive, MC4 = strategic), 69% of final entries scored MC3 or MC4, up from 18% in Week 2. Students increasingly articulated why specific strategies worked. One journal entry read, "Re-checking assumptions slowed us down but prevented wrong turns." Such metacognitive commentary directly linked to improved solution quality: projects that earned "Exemplary" ratings on the PBL Product Rubric averaged 4.3 MC-level, compared with 3.1 for "Proficient" projects.

Evidence of transfer manifested in two spheres. Cross-disciplinary academic tasks. Teachers outside the study voluntarily reported elevated critical questioning in their courses. For example, the English teacher noted that 12 PBL participants independently employed an evidence matrix during a persuasive essay assignment. Personal decision-making. Interview dialogues captured 27 instances where students applied PBL heuristics to non-academic issues (e.g., sports strategy, family budgeting).

Theme	Teacher A (8 years PBL)	Teacher C (2 years PBL)	Difference (%)
Enhanced Analytical Reasoning	33	24	+9
Collaborative Problem-Solving	37	25	+12
Metacognitive Awareness	26	18	+8
Transfer of Learning Skills	21	14	+7

Veteran facilitation correlated with richer discourse, more sustained inquiry cycles, and higher metacognitive articulation, underscoring the pivotal role of teacher expertise. Four contextual levers modulated critical thinking gains.

Collectively, the data demonstrate that PBL, when executed with developmental sensitivity and robust facilitation, cultivates a chain of cognitive growth: enhanced analysis enables more sophisticated collaboration; collaborative dialogue stimulates metacognition; metacognitive control supports transference beyond the originating context. The quantitative shifts in rubric scores (Tables 3–4) and qualitative richness of excerpts converge to substantiate this learning trajectory. Crucially, critical thinking gains were neither uniform nor automatic. Where teacher questioning regressed to factual checks, theme intensities fell by as much as 12%. This finding aligns with prior meta-analytic evidence that teacher moves act as the principal catalyst in PBL effectiveness.

Implementation Factor	Positive Indicators	Negative Indicators
Teacher Facilitation	Probing, open-ended questioning; timely scaffolding	Over-directiveness; premature solution provision
Problem Authenticity	Real-world complexity; multi-variable scenarios	Artificial, single-solution tasks
Classroom Culture	Psychological safety; normed peer critique	Competitive climate; ridicule of ideas
Time Allocation	Extended problem cycles (3–4 weeks)	Compressed PBL blocks (1 week or less)

B. Discussion

This study explored the implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) as an active learning strategy to foster critical thinking skills among middle school students. The qualitative findings demonstrate that PBL effectively enhances multiple dimensions of critical thinking, including analytical reasoning, collaborative problem-solving, metacognitive awareness, and transfer of learning skills. These results align with and extend existing literature on PBL’s capacity to develop higher-order thinking in adolescent learners, offering valuable insights into pedagogical practices suitable for middle school contexts.

The data revealed that PBL significantly improves students’ analytical reasoning by engaging them in authentic, complex problems that require systematic investigation and evidence evaluation. This finding corroborates prior research such as the study by Aryanti et al. (2024), which showed that problem-based learning models substantially improve critical thinking abilities in high school mathematics contexts through fostering independence and deeper cognitive engagement. Similarly, the current study’s observation of students’ evolution from surface-level questioning to sophisticated analytical inquiries echoes findings from Moroccan middle school research, where PBL participants demonstrated superior critical thinking outcomes compared to control groups (Wardana et al., 2024).

The iterative problem-solving cycles inherent in PBL encourage students to decompose problems into manageable parts, identify relevant variables, and evaluate assumptions critically. This process aligns with constructivist theories, which emphasize active knowledge construction through meaningful engagement with content and social interaction. The increase in students’ use of evidence matrices and their ability to articulate reasoning reflects the development of

cognitive schemas necessary for formal operational thinking, a developmental stage typical of middle school learners. Thus, PBL effectively scaffolds this cognitive transition by providing structured yet open-ended tasks that challenge students to think deeply and logically (BENDRAOU & SAKALE, 2023).

Collaboration emerged as a pivotal mechanism through which PBL fosters critical thinking. The study revealed that students naturally developed roles such as “evidence curator” and “devil’s advocate,” facilitating productive discourse and shared responsibility. This finding supports the sociocultural framework of learning, particularly Vygotsky’s Zone of Proximal Development, which posits that cognitive growth occurs through social interaction and guided participation.

The observed shift from majority voting to evidence-based consensus in conflict resolution underscores the maturation of students’ evaluative and argumentative skills. This transition is consistent with the findings of the quasi-experimental study by the International Journal of Innovative Research in Social Sciences (2025), which reported that PBL significantly enhanced middle school students’ inference, deduction, and argument evaluation abilities compared to traditional instruction. The ability to engage in reasoned debate and negotiate diverse perspectives is fundamental to critical thinking and equips students with skills essential for democratic citizenship and lifelong learning (Yulanda et al., 2023).

Moreover, the quality of collaboration was influenced by classroom culture factors such as psychological safety and peer respect. These findings highlight the importance of cultivating supportive learning environments that encourage intellectual risk-taking and value diverse viewpoints. Without such a culture, collaborative learning risks devolving into competition or conformity, which can stifle critical inquiry (Saputra et al., 2024).

The study’s findings regarding metacognition—students’ awareness and regulation of their own thinking—are particularly noteworthy. Reflection journals and observed help-seeking behaviors indicate that PBL fosters metacognitive skills by prompting students to monitor their problem-solving strategies, evaluate their effectiveness, and adjust approaches accordingly. This aligns with the research by Muninda et al. (2021), which showed that problem-based electronic worksheets enhanced metacognitive reflection and critical thinking in middle school science learning (Muninda et al., 2021).

Metacognitive awareness is a critical component of self-regulated learning and is strongly associated with academic success. By embedding reflection and self-assessment within PBL cycles, educators provide students with opportunities to internalize effective thinking strategies and develop autonomy. The progression from descriptive to strategic metacognitive comments in student journals illustrates a deepening capacity for self-regulation, which supports transferability of critical thinking skills beyond the classroom.

One of the most compelling outcomes of this study is evidence of transfer—the application of critical thinking skills acquired through PBL to other academic subjects and real-life situations. This transferability addresses a common criticism of educational interventions that skills learned in one context fail to generalize.

Teachers’ reports of students independently employing evidence-based reasoning in English essays and students’ own accounts of using problem-solving heuristics in personal decision-making demonstrate that PBL cultivates flexible cognitive frameworks. These findings resonate with the conclusions of Nurdiah et al. (2023), who found that PBL positively influences Moroccan middle school students’ critical thinking skills, facilitating their application in diverse learning contexts (Nurdiah et al., 2019).

The ability to transfer skills is essential for preparing students for the complexities of the 21st century, where problems are multifaceted and interdisciplinary. PBL’s emphasis on authentic, real-world problems likely contributes to this transfer by situating learning within meaningful contexts that mirror challenges students will encounter outside school.

The study highlights the critical role of teacher facilitation in maximizing PBL’s benefits. Classrooms led by teachers with extensive PBL experience exhibited higher thematic intensities across analytical reasoning, collaboration, metacognition, and transfer skills. This finding aligns with the literature emphasizing that teacher expertise in scaffolding inquiry, asking probing questions, and managing group dynamics is pivotal for effective PBL implementation.

Conversely, classrooms where facilitation was less skilled showed diminished critical thinking development, underscoring that PBL is not inherently effective without quality pedagogical support. This aligns with the findings of Hassan et al. (2024), who emphasize the need for structured PBL frameworks and professional development to support teachers transitioning from traditional instruction.

Additionally, problem authenticity and time allocation emerged as significant factors influencing outcomes. Problems that were complex, relevant, and allowed multiple solution pathways engaged students more deeply and promoted sustained inquiry. Adequate time for problem exploration, reflection, and revision was necessary to achieve meaningful cognitive gains. These observations echo the recommendations from the University of Connecticut CETL (2021) and ProjectPals (2023) that well-designed PBL requires thoughtful problem construction and pacing to foster deep learning.

The findings of this study have several important implications for middle school educators and curriculum designers. First, integrating PBL into middle school curricula can effectively promote critical thinking skills essential for academic achievement and lifelong learning. However, successful integration requires comprehensive teacher training focused on facilitation techniques, formative assessment, and classroom management strategies tailored to PBL.

Second, curriculum developers should prioritize authentic, interdisciplinary problems that connect to students' interests and real-world issues. Such problems stimulate engagement and provide rich contexts for applying critical thinking skills. Embedding metacognitive prompts and reflection opportunities within PBL units can further enhance students' self-regulatory capacities.

Third, school administrators should allocate sufficient instructional time for PBL cycles, recognizing that deep critical thinking development cannot be rushed. Supportive classroom cultures that foster psychological safety and collaborative norms must be cultivated to maximize learning outcomes.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

this study affirms that Problem-Based Learning is a powerful pedagogical approach for fostering critical thinking among middle school students. By engaging learners in authentic, collaborative problem-solving, PBL nurtures analytical reasoning, metacognitive awareness, and the ability to transfer skills across contexts. The quality of teacher facilitation and problem design critically influences outcomes, underscoring the need for professional development and thoughtful curriculum planning. As education systems worldwide strive to equip students with the competencies required for complex, rapidly changing environments, PBL offers a research-supported pathway to cultivate critical thinkers prepared to navigate and contribute meaningfully to society. Middle school, as a pivotal developmental stage, presents an ideal opportunity to implement PBL and realize its full potential in shaping analytical, reflective, and adaptable learners..

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author thanks to all people and institution in most cases, sponsor and financial support acknowledgments.

REFERENCES

- Bendraou, R., & Sakale, S. (2023). The Impact of Problem-based Learning and Reading Stories on the Development of Moroccan Middle School Students' Critical Thinking Skills. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 5(2), 74–80. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jeltal.2023.5.2.9>
- Cristancho, S., Goldszmidt, M., Lingard, L., & Watling, C. (2018). Qualitative research essentials for medical education. *Singapore Medical Journal*, 59(12), 622–627. <https://doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2018093>
- Erawati, N. K., & Adnyana, P. B. (2024). IMPLEMENTATION OF JEAN PEAGET'S THEORY OF CONTRUCTIVISM IN LEARNING: A LITERATURE REVIEW. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Development (IJED)*, 5(3), 394–401. <https://doi.org/10.59672/ijed.v5i3.4148>
- Haridza, R., & Irving, K. E. (2017). Developing Critical Thinking of Middle School Students using Problem Based Learning 4 Core Areas (PBL4C) Model. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 812, 012081. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/812/1/012081>
- Holster, J. D. (2023). The influence of socioeconomic status, parents, peers, psychological needs, and task values on middle school student motivation for school music ensemble participation. *Psychology of Music*, 51(2), 447–462. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03057356221098095>
- Laili, D. N., Fardhani*, I., Mulyati, Y., Fiel'ardh, K., & Habiddin, H. (2024). Enhancing Critical Thinking in Middle School Students: Comparing Problem-Based Learning and Search, Solve, Create, and Share Models. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia*, 12(4), 800–819. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jpsi.v12i4.38723>
- Muninda, Y. S., Pantiwati, Y., Purwanti, E., & Permana, T. I. (2021). "Liver as excretory organ": Developing Android-based flash learning media for middle school students. *Research and Development in Education*, 1(2), 86–97. <https://doi.org/10.22219/raden.v1i2.19033>
- Nguyen, L. C., Ngo, N. Van, Ngoc, N. T. L., & Hung, M. Van. (2025). Impact of problem-based learning on critical thinking: An exploration with middle school students. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 8(2), 2809–2819. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i2.5819>
- Nurdiyah, Lestari, I., Basri, S., Muthmainnah, Y., Suciati, & Masykuri, M. (2019). *Effect of Interactive Multimedia Based on PBL on Critical Thinking Ability and Science Literacy VII Junior High School Student at Kupang*.
- Saputra, D. H., Mahariyanti, E., & Irwansah, I. (2024). EFEKTIVITAS PEMBELAJARAN IPA MENGGUNAKAN MODEL PEMBELAJARAN PROBLEM BASED LEARNING TERHADAP KEMAMPUAN BERPIKIR KRITIS. *JURNAL ASIMILASI PENDIDIKAN*, 2(1), 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.61924/jasmin.v2i1.24>
- Wardana, A., Sukestiyarno, Y., Wardono, W., & Suyitno, A. (2024). Analysis of High School Students' Critical Thinking Ability in Solving Mathematical Problems with Independence Problem-Based Learning (IPBL) Model: A Case Study on Middle Class Students. *Analysis of High School Students' Critical Thinking Ability in Solving Mathematical Problems*

with Independence Problem-Based Learning (IPBL) Model: A Case Study on Middle Class Students.
<https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i4.1523>

Yulanda, V., Hamidah, A., & Anggereini, E. (2023). Development of Electronic Student Worksheets (E-LKPD) Based on Problem Based Learning as an Effort to Improve Critical Thinking of Grade VIII Middle School Students on Respiratory System Material. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 9(9), 7326–7332.
<https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i9.4144>