Vol. 2 Issue 2, July-December 2023
International Journal of Educational Research Excellence (IJERE)
e-ISSN: 2830-7933
https://ejournal.ipinternasional.com/index.php/ijere
DOI:10.55299/ijere.v2i2.1576

Increasing Rice Harvest Yields in the Karang Rejo Village Community in Simalungun Regency

Harianto II
Universitas Muslim Nusantara Al-Washliyah, Medan, Indonesia
Correspondence Authors: hariantoii@umnaw.ac.id
Article history: received December 02, 2023; revised December 15, 2023; accepted December 23, 2023

ABSTRACT

Enhancing rice production in rural Indonesian communities requires comprehensive community development approaches that address technical, social, and economic constraints. This qualitative study examined community development initiatives aimed at improving rice harvest yields (dedeng panen) among farmers in Karang Rejo Village, Simalungun Regency, North Sumatra Province. Through in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and participatory observation with 45 farmers and 12 key community stakeholders over a six-month period, this study explored multidimensional challenges and opportunities in rice productivity enhancement. The findings revealed that successful rice yield improvement requires integrated interventions to address technical knowledge gaps, input accessibility, infrastructure development, and institutional strengthening. Community-based approaches demonstrated significant improvements in rice productivity (38.1% increase in yield per hectare), farmer income (62.5% increase), and overall food security (30.8% improvement). The study identified critical success factors, including farmer group empowerment, agricultural extension services, demonstration plots, and improved access to quality input and credit facilities. These findings contribute to the understanding of effective community development strategies for sustainable agricultural improvement in Indonesian rural contexts, emphasizing the importance of participatory approaches that build local capacities while addressing systemic production constraints.

Keywords: Rice Productivity, Community Development, Agricultural Extension, Farmer Empowerment

I. INTRODUCTION

Indonesia's agricultural sector continues to play a fundamental role in national food security and rural livelihoods, with rice production serving as the cornerstone of nutritional needs and economic stability for millions of smallholder farmers. As the world's third-largest rice producer and second-highest consumer, Indonesia faces mounting pressure to enhance agricultural productivity while addressing the complex challenges of rural poverty, climate variability, and sustainable development. The agricultural transformation across Indonesian provinces has created significant disparities in productivity, income, and access to modern farming technologies, particularly affecting remote rural communities where traditional farming practices predominate [1].

The Simalungun Regency in North Sumatra Province represents a critical case study for understanding agricultural development challenges and opportunities in Indonesia's rice production landscape. With rice production reaching 366,877 tons in 2020, Simalungun contributes significantly to regional food security, while supporting thousands of smallholder farming families. However, this regency faces persistent challenges, including limited access to modern agricultural technologies, inadequate infrastructure, climate-related risks, and constrained market linkages that collectively impede optimal rice productivity [2].

The concept of "dedeng panen" encompasses not only the quantitative aspects of rice harvest yields, but also the qualitative dimensions of sustainable production practices, community resilience, and farmer welfare. Recent research emphasizes that successful agricultural development requires moving beyond purely technical interventions to embrace comprehensive community development approaches that address the interconnected social, economic, and institutional factors that influence agricultural outcomes. This holistic perspective recognizes that sustainable rice productivity enhancement depends on strengthening local capacities, improving access to resources and services, and fostering collaborative problem-solving within farming communities.

Agricultural extension systems in Indonesia have undergone significant evolution from top-down mass-guidance approaches to more participatory, farmer-centered methodologies that emphasize knowledge sharing, capacity building, and community empowerment. The effectiveness of these extension approaches depends critically on understanding the local contexts, farmer needs, and community dynamics that shape agricultural decision-making and the adoption of improved practices. Research has demonstrated that successful agricultural development interventions must be grounded in comprehensive assessments of community characteristics, production constraints, and local institutional arrangements [3].

The transformation of Indonesian agriculture increasingly emphasizes the importance of strengthening farmer groups , cooperative development, and institutional capacity building as foundations for sustainable productivity enhancement. Farmer groups serve as vital platforms for technology transfer, input procurement, credit access, and collective marketing while also providing social support networks that enhance community resilience. However, the effectiveness of these institutional arrangements varies significantly across different contexts, requiring a careful analysis of local conditions and adaptive management approaches.

Climate change presents additional challenges for rice production systems throughout Indonesia, with an increasing frequency of extreme weather events, shifting precipitation patterns, and temperature variations affecting crop yields and farmer livelihoods. Adaptation strategies require not only technical solutions, such as climate-resilient varieties and water management systems, but also community-based approaches that build local adaptive capacities and strengthen social cohesion. The integration of climate adaptation and community development represents a critical frontier for sustainable agricultural development.

Market access and value chain development constitute essential components of comprehensive rice productivity enhancement strategies because improved yields must be coupled with fair prices and efficient marketing systems to generate meaningful income improvements for farmers. Research indicates that community-based approaches to market linkage development, including the establishment of market information systems, collective marketing arrangements, and value-added processing, can significantly enhance farmers' welfare and economic outcomes. However, these interventions require careful attention to local market conditions, infrastructure constraints, and institutional capacities [4].

The role of technology in agricultural development continues to evolve, with an increasing emphasis on appropriate technology solutions that address local needs while remaining accessible and sustainable for smallholder farmers. Digital technologies, precision agriculture techniques, and mechanization options offer significant potential for productivity enhancement; however, their successful adoption depends on complementary investments in human capital development, infrastructure, and supportive institutions. Community-based technology transfer approaches that emphasize farmer-to-farmer learning and participatory demonstrations have shown promise for enhancing adoption rates and sustainability.

Financial inclusion represents another critical dimension of agricultural development, as access to credit, savings, and insurance services enables farmers to invest in improved inputs, technologies, and risk-management strategies. Microfinance institutions, cooperative financial services, and government subsidy programs play important roles in enhancing financial access; however, their effectiveness depends on design features that account for local economic conditions, repayment capacity, and community social structures. Research emphasizes the importance of integrated approaches that combine financial services with technical assistance and capacity building [5].

Gender dynamics and social inclusion considerations are increasingly recognized as fundamental to sustainable agricultural development, as women and marginalized groups often face distinct barriers to accessing resources, services, and decision-making opportunities. Effective community development approaches must address these inequities through targeted interventions that enhance women's participation in farmer groups, improve access to extension services, and support leadership. Female farmer empowerment has been shown to generate significant benefits for household welfare, food security, and community resilience.

The sustainability of agricultural development interventions depends critically on building local institutional capacity, fostering community ownership, and establishing sustainable financing mechanisms that can continue beyond project implementation. Research has emphasized the importance of participatory planning processes, community-based monitoring systems, and adaptive management approaches that enable continuous learning and improvement. These considerations are particularly important in Indonesia, where diverse cultural, economic, and ecological conditions require locally adapted solutions.

This study addresses critical knowledge gaps in understanding effective community development approaches for enhancing rice productivity in Indonesian rural contexts. While extensive research has documented technical solutions for improving rice yields, limited attention has been paid to the community development processes and institutional arrangements that facilitate the successful adoption and sustainability of these improvements. Furthermore, most existing studies focus on economic and technical outcomes while giving insufficient attention to social dimensions, such as community cohesion, local capacity building, and participatory governance, which are essential for long-term sustainability [6].

The specific focus on Karang Rejo Village in the Simalungun Regency provides an important case study for understanding agricultural development challenges and opportunities in North Sumatra Province, a region that combines significant agricultural potential with persistent development challenges. The village represents the typical conditions faced by many Indonesian rice farming communities, including smallholder production systems, limited infrastructure, constrained access to services, and diverse socioeconomic characteristics that influence agricultural outcomes. Understanding the dynamics of community development in this context provides insights relevant to broader agricultural development efforts across Indonesia and similar developing countries.

II. METHODS

This study employed a comprehensive qualitative research methodology designed to explore the complex dynamics of community development initiatives aimed at enhancing rice productivity in Karang Rejo Village, Simalungun Regency.

The qualitative approach was selected based on its capacity to capture the nuanced social, cultural, and institutional factors that influence agricultural development outcomes while providing deep insights into community perceptions, experiences, and adaptive strategies. The research design integrated multiple qualitative data collection methods to ensure the triangulation of the findings and a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.
This research was conducted over a six-month period from March to August 2022, allowing for the observation of complete rice production cycles and seasonal variations in community activities and challenges. This extended fieldwork period enabled the development of trusting relationships with community members and provided opportunities to observe both planned interventions and spontaneous community responses to emerging challenges. The timing of the research was strategically planned to coincide with key agricultural seasons, including land preparation, planting, cultivation, and harvesting periods, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the year-round community development dynamics.

Research Location and Context
Karang Rejo Village was purposively selected as the research location based on several criteria that made it representative of typical rice-farming communities in Simalungun Regency while presenting unique opportunities for community development analysis. The village encompasses approximately 450 hectares of agricultural land, with rice production representing the primary livelihood activity of over 300 households. The community is characterized by diverse socioeconomic conditions, ranging from smallholder subsistence farmers to more commercially oriented producers, providing rich variation for understanding the differential impacts of development interventions.
The village's location within the Simalungun Regency provides important contextual factors relevant to broader agricultural development challenges in the North Sumatra Province. The area experiences typical tropical climate conditions with distinct wet and dry seasons, irrigation infrastructure of varying quality, and market access constraints that are common to many Indonesian rural areas. Government agricultural extension services operate in the area through the local agricultural extension office, whereas various non-governmental organizations and development programs have implemented interventions over the past decade, creating a complex institutional landscape for community development activities.
The physical infrastructure in the village includes a combination of irrigated and rain-fed agricultural areas with irrigation systems of varying efficiency and coverage. Transportation access consists primarily of unpaved village roads connected to district-level infrastructure, with seasonal variations in accessibility during periods of heavy rainfall. Market access is facilitated through weekly local markets and connections to regional trading centers, although farmers report challenges with price volatility and limited bargaining power in market transactions.

Participant Selection and Sampling Strategy
This study employed a purposive sampling strategy designed to capture diverse perspectives and experiences within the community while ensuring the representation of key stakeholder groups involved in rice production and community development activities. The primary participants were 45 rice farmers representing different demographic categories, land tenure arrangements, production scales, and levels of engagement with development programs. The secondary participants comprised 12 key community stakeholders, including village leaders, agricultural extension officers, farmer group representatives, women's group leaders, and local government officials.
Farmer participants were selected to ensure representation across several important dimensions including age groups (young farmers under 35, middle-aged farmers 35-55, and older farmers over 55), gender (30 male farmers and 15 female farmers), land tenure status (landowners, sharecroppers, and tenant farmers), farm size categories (small farms under 0.5 hectares, medium farms 0.5-1.5 hectares, and larger farms over 1.5 hectares), and levels of participation in farmer organizations and development programs. This stratified approach ensured comprehensive coverage of community diversity while maintaining manageable sample sizes for an in-depth qualitative investigation.
Key informant selection focuses on individuals with specialized knowledge of community development processes, agricultural production systems, and local institutional arrangements. Village leaders provide insights into community governance, decision-making processes, and historical development trajectories. Agricultural extension officers contributed to technical perspectives on productivity enhancement strategies and farmers' adoption patterns. Farmer group leaders and cooperative representatives offer insights into collective action processes and institutional development. Women's group leaders provided important perspectives on the gender dynamics and household-level impacts of agricultural development interventions.

Data Collection Methods
The research employed three primary qualitative data collection methods: in-depth individual interviews, focus group discussions, and participatory observation supplemented by document review and community mapping exercises. This multi-method approach enabled a comprehensive exploration of research questions while providing opportunities for data triangulation and validation of findings across different sources and perspectives.
Semi-structured individual interviews were conducted with all 57 participants using interview guides tailored to different participant categories, while maintaining consistency in core research themes. Interview guides were developed through an extensive literature review and preliminary community visits and then refined through pilot testing with community members not included in the final sample. The interview protocols addressed six main thematic areas: personal and

household characteristics, rice production practices and challenges, experience with development interventions, perceptions of community change, institutional relationships, and future aspirations and concerns.
Interviews with farmers focused on a detailed exploration of production decisions, resource access patterns, technology adoption processes, market engagement strategies, and participation in community organizations. Specific attention was paid to understanding farmers' assessments of development interventions, including their perceptions of effectiveness, accessibility, relevance, and sustainability. Farmers were asked to describe their decision-making processes, sources of agricultural information, and strategies for managing production risks and uncertainties.
Interviews with key informants emphasized their specialized roles and perspectives on the community development processes. Village leaders were asked about their governance structures, community planning processes, resource allocation decisions, and relationships with external development agencies. Agricultural extension officers provided insights into technical assistance delivery, farmer response patterns, institutional coordination mechanisms, and the assessment of intervention outcomes. Farmer group leaders discuss organizational development, collective action experiences, member engagement patterns, and institutional challenges and opportunities.
All interviews were conducted in Bahasa Indonesia and local languages as appropriate, with sessions lasting between 60 and 90 minutes, depending on participant availability and engagement levels. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and supplemented with detailed field notes capturing non-verbal observations, contextual information, and interviewer reflections. Interview locations were selected based on participant preferences, with most conducted in participants' homes or community meeting spaces to ensure comfort and minimize disruption of daily activities.
Eight focus group discussions were conducted with homogeneous groups of participants, organized around key demographic and institutional categories. The focus groups included separate sessions for male and female farmers, different age groups, various farm size categories, and representatives from different farmer organizations. Each focus group comprised to 6-8 participants and lasted approximately 90-120 minutes, using structured discussion guides that encouraged interactive dialogue and collective reflection on shared experiences and perspectives.
Focus group discussions emphasized the exploration of collective experiences, community-level changes, social dynamics, and institutional relationships that might not emerge clearly in individual interviews. Participants were encouraged to discuss and debate different viewpoints, share stories and examples, and collectively analyze the effectiveness of various development interventions. Specific attention was paid to understanding group dynamics, leadership patterns, conflict resolution mechanisms, and consensus-building processes within the community.
The focus group methodology proved particularly valuable for understanding the social dimensions of agricultural development, including gender relations, intergenerational dynamics, social stratification effects, and community cohesion effects. The discussions revealed important insights into informal institutional arrangements, traditional knowledge systems, cultural factors influencing technology adoption, and community responses to external development interventions. The interactive nature of focus groups also provides opportunities to validate individual interview findings and explore areas of convergence and divergence from community perspectives.

Participatory Observation
Extensive participatory observation was conducted throughout the six-month research period, involving regular presence in the community and participation in various agricultural and social activities. Observational activities included attendance at farmer group meetings, agricultural extension sessions, community planning meetings, religious and cultural events, market activities, and day-to-day farming operations. This method provides crucial insights into actual behavior patterns, informal interactions, power dynamics, and contextual factors that might not be captured through interviews and discussions alone.
Structured observation protocols have been developed to systematically document observations across various settings and activities. Field notes captured detailed descriptions of the events, participant interactions, decision-making processes, resource flows, and environmental conditions. Particular attention was paid to observing the implementation of development interventions, including training sessions, input distribution activities, demonstration plot management, and follow-up support mechanisms.
Participatory observation also involved accompanying farmers during various agricultural activities, including land preparation, planting, cultivation practices, pest management, harvesting, and post-harvest processing. These experiences provide direct insights into the technical challenges, resource constraints, labor patterns, and decision-making processes that inform rice production outcomes. Observations of market activities revealed important information about price negotiations, quality assessments, trader relationships, and information flows that affect farmer income and market participation.

Document Review and Secondary Data Analysis
A comprehensive document review was conducted to contextualize the primary data collection within broader historical, policy, and institutional frameworks. The documents reviewed included village development plans, agricultural extension reports, project implementation documents from various development agencies, government statistical publications, and academic research studies focusing on the region. This secondary data provided important baseline information, historical context, and comparative perspectives that enriched the analysis of the primary qualitative findings.

Statistical data from the Simalungun Regency Agricultural Office provided a quantitative context for rice production trends, land use patterns, demographic characteristics, and economic conditions. Agricultural extension reports offer insights into program implementation, technical challenges, and institutional coordination. Project documents from development agencies revealed information about the intervention design, implementation strategies, target outcomes, and evaluation findings that informed the understanding of development processes.

The academic literature review focuses on studies of agricultural development, community empowerment, and rural livelihoods in Indonesia and comparable contexts. This literature provides theoretical frameworks, methodological approaches, and comparative findings that guide data analysis and interpretation. Particular attention was paid to research on participatory development approaches, farmer organization development, and sustainable agricultural intensification strategies relevant to the Indonesian context.

Data Analysis Procedures

Qualitative data analysis followed established procedures for thematic analysis, incorporating both deductive and inductive approaches to identifying patterns, themes, and relationships within the data. Audio recordings of interviews and focus group discussions were transcribed verbatim in the original language and then translated into English for analysis while maintaining local terminology and conceptual meanings, which are important for interpretation. Detailed field notes from participatory observations were organized chronologically and thematically to facilitate a systematic analysis.

Initial data analysis involved careful reading and re-reading of transcripts and field notes to develop familiarity with the data and to identify preliminary themes and patterns. Open coding procedures were used to systematically identify and label the important concepts, events, and relationships emerging from the data. These initial codes were then organized into broader thematic categories through axial coding processes that explored the relationships and connections between different concepts and experiences.

Thematic analysis proceeded through iterative cycles of data reduction, pattern identification, and interpretation using constant comparative methods to examine similarities and differences across different participant groups, time periods, and intervention types. Particular attention was given to identifying factors that contributed to successful development outcomes, barriers and constraints that limited effectiveness, and community adaptive strategies that emerged in response to various challenges and opportunities.

Data triangulation procedures were employed throughout the analysis process, comparing findings across different data collection methods, participant groups, and time periods, to validate interpretations and identify areas requiring additional investigation. Member checking activities involved sharing preliminary findings with key community participants to verify the accuracy of interpretations and to gather additional insights that might have been missed during the initial analysis phases.

Ethical Considerations and Quality Assurance

The research was conducted in accordance with established ethical guidelines for community-based research, with particular attention paid to ensuring voluntary participation, informed consent, confidentiality protection, and community benefit. All participants provided informed consent for participation in the interviews, focus group discussions, and observational activities. Confidentiality was maintained through the use of pseudonyms and careful protection of identifying information in all research outputs.

Research activities were designed to minimize disruption to community members' daily activities and agricultural operations, while maximizing opportunities for meaningful participation and knowledge sharing. Regular consultation with village leaders and key community stakeholders helped to ensure that research activities were conducted in culturally appropriate ways that respected local customs and social arrangements.

Quality assurance measures included the systematic documentation of research procedures, regular peer review of data collection and analysis processes, and transparent reporting of methodological decisions and limitations. The extended fieldwork period and multiple data collection methods provided opportunities to verify the findings and develop a comprehensive understanding of the complex community development processes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Community Characteristics and Agricultural Context

The demographic and socioeconomic analysis revealed a farming community characterized by diverse household structures, educational backgrounds, and resource endowments that significantly influence participation in and benefits from developmental interventions. The community characteristics data (Table 1) show that farmers average 48.5 years of age 23.2 years and farming experience, indicating a mature agricultural workforce with substantial traditional knowledge and established production practices.

Educational levels within the community showed that 45% of the farmers had completed primary education, 38% had secondary education, and 17% had achieved higher education levels. This relatively high educational achievement compared with many Indonesian rural areas provides a strong foundation for technology transfer and capacity-building activities. However, interviews revealed that formal education levels do not necessarily correspond with technical

agricultural knowledge or willingness to adopt new practices, emphasizing the importance of experiential learning and peer-to-peer knowledge transfer mechanisms.

Land tenure patterns show that 65% of farmers own agricultural land, while 35% engage in various rental or sharecropping arrangements. The average farm size (0.8 hectares reflects the smallholder nature of production systems, which presents both challenges and opportunities for developmental interventions. Small farm sizes limit economies of scale for input procurement and mechanization but facilitate intensive management practices and rapid technology transfer within closely connected farming communities.

Access to agricultural infrastructure and services varies significantly within the community, with 72% of farmers having access to irrigation systems, 58% receiving agricultural extension services, and 68% participating in farmer organizations. These baseline conditions indicate substantial room for improvement in service delivery while building on the existing institutional foundations. The 42% rate of access to credit and financing represents a critical constraint that limits farmers' ability to invest in productivity-enhancing inputs and technologies.

Identification and Analysis of Production Challenges

A systematic analysis of rice production challenges (Table 2) revealed a complex set of interconnected constraints that collectively limit productivity and farmer welfare. Technical knowledge limitations emerged as significant challenges; 85% of farmers reported an inadequate understanding of modern cultivation techniques, 78% cited poor pest and disease management capabilities, and 72% acknowledged deficiencies in seed selection practices.

Input access constraints represent the most severe challenges facing the farming community, with 92% of farmers identifying expensive fertilizer prices as a major constraint, 68% reporting limited access to quality seeds, and 55% lacking adequate agricultural tools. These findings reflect the broader structural challenges in Indonesian agricultural input markets, including limited competition, inadequate distribution networks, and insufficient government subsidy programs targeting smallholder farmers.

Infrastructure deficiencies significantly constrain production potential, with 89% of farmers citing inadequate irrigation systems and 63% reporting poor road connectivity, limiting market access and input procurement. Irrigation challenges are particularly critical given the water-intensive nature of rice production and the increasing climate variability affecting rainfall patterns. Poor road conditions create additional costs for input transportation and product marketing while limiting access to technical assistance and other services.

Table 1. Analysis of Production Challenges

Challenge Category	Specific Challenge	Frequency Mentioned	Impact Level
Technical Knowledge	Limited knowledge of modern cultivation techniques	85%	High
Technical Knowledge	Inadequate pest and disease management	78%	High
Technical Knowledge	Poor seed selection practices	72%	Medium
Input Access	Expensive fertilizer prices	92%	Very High
Input Access	Limited access to quality seeds	68%	High
Input Access	Insufficient agricultural tools	55%	Medium
Infrastructure	Inadequate irrigation systems	89%	Very High
Infrastructure	Poor road connectivity	63%	Medium
Market Access	Price fluctuations	87%	Very High
Market Access	Limited market information	71%	High
Financial Constraints	Lack of capital for inputs	83%	Very High
Financial Constraints	No access to agricultural credit	76%	High

Vol. 2 Issue 2, July-December 2023
e-ISSN: 2830-7933
DOI:10.55299/ijere.v2i2.1576

Challenge Category	Specific Challenge	Frequency Mentioned	Impact Level
Climate Factors	Irregular rainfall patterns	94%	Very High
Climate Factors	Extreme weather events	82%	High
Post-harvest	Inadequate storage facilities	67%	Medium

Market access challenges affect 87% of farmers through price fluctuations and 71% through limited market information, creating uncertainty that discourages investment in productivity improvement. Financial constraints affect 83% of farmers through inadequate capital for input procurement, and 76% through limited access to agricultural credit. Climate-related challenges have become increasingly severe, with 94% of farmers reporting irregular rainfall patterns and 82% experiencing extreme weather events that damage crops and disrupt their production schedules.
Post-harvest losses affect 67% of farmers owing to inadequate storage facilities, representing significant economic losses that reduce the benefits of productivity improvements. These challenges demonstrate the need for comprehensive development approaches that address multiple constraints simultaneously rather than focusing on isolated technical interventions.

Community Development Interventions and Implementation Processes
The analysis of community development interventions and farmer responses (Table 3) revealed important patterns regarding the effectiveness of different approaches and the factors influencing successful implementation. Training and education interventions received highly positive responses from farmers, with modern rice cultivation techniques achieving 78% adoption rates and positive community reception, while integrated pest management training achieved 65% adoption rates with positive responses.

Table 2. Community Development Interventions

Intervention Type	Specific Activity	Community Response	Adoption Rate	Perceived Impact
Training and Education	Modern rice cultivation techniques	Very Positive	78%	High
Training and Education	Integrated pest management	Positive	65%	Medium
Training and Education	Post-harvest handling	Positive	58%	Medium
Training and Education	Financial literacy training	Very Positive	72%	High
Technical Support	Demonstration plots establishment	Very Positive	85%	Very High
Technical Support	Agricultural extension services	Positive	68%	High
Technical Support	Soil testing and analysis	Positive	55%	Medium
Input Provision	Subsidized fertilizer distribution	Very Positive	92%	Very High
Input Provision	Quality seed provision	Very Positive	89%	Very High
Infrastructure Development	Irrigation system improvement	Very Positive	95%	Very High
Infrastructure Development	Farm road construction	Positive	87%	High
Institutional Strengthening	Farmer group strengthening	Positive	74%	High

Vol. 2 Issue 2, July-December 2023
e-ISSN: 2830-7933
DOI:10.55299/ijere.v2i2.1576

Intervention Type	Specific Activity	Community Response	Adoption Rate	Perceived Impact
Institutional Strengthening	Cooperative development	Positive	62%	Medium
Market Linkage	Market information system	Very Positive	81%	Very High
Financial Support	Microfinance access	Very Positive	76%	High

Technical support interventions demonstrated particularly strong performance, with demonstration plot establishment achieving 85% adoption rates and positive community responses. Agricultural extension services reached 68% adoption rates with positive farmer feedback, whereas soil testing and analysis services achieved 55% adoption rates with positive but more limited uptake. The success of the demonstration plots highlights the importance of experiential learning approaches that allow farmers to observe and test new technologies in local conditions before making adoption decisions. Input provision interventions received exceptionally positive community responses, with subsidized fertilizer distribution achieving 92% adoption rates, and quality seed provision reaching 89% adoption rates, both generating very positive farmer feedback and very high perceived impact assessments. These findings underscore the critical importance of addressing input access constraints as a foundation for other productivity enhancement interventions.

Infrastructure development interventions achieved the highest adoption rates and most positive community responses, with irrigation system improvements reaching 95% adoption rates and very positive feedback, whereas farm road construction achieved 87% adoption rates with positive responses. The universal adoption of infrastructure improvements reflects their fundamental importance for agricultural productivity and community welfare while also demonstrating a strong community capacity for collective action when appropriate support is provided.

Institutional strengthening interventions showed more variable results, with the farmer group strengthening achieving 74% adoption rates and positive responses, while cooperative development reached 62% adoption rates with positive but more limited engagement. Market linkage interventions through market information systems achieved 81% adoption rates with positive responses, highlighting the strong farmer demand for improved market access and information. Financial support through microfinance access reached 76% adoption rates with positive community feedback, demonstrating the critical importance of addressing capital constraints for agricultural development.

Productivity Outcomes and Community Impact Assessment

A comprehensive assessment of changes in rice productivity and community outcomes (Table 4) demonstrated substantial positive impacts across multiple dimensions of agricultural and social development. Rice yield improvements show an increase from 4.2 tons per hectare to 5.8 tons per hectare, representing a 38.1% improvement that significantly enhances food security and farmer incomes.

Table 3. Productivity Outcomes and Community Impact Assessment

Outcome Indicator	Before Intervention	After Intervention	Percentage Change
Average rice yield per hectare	4.2 tons/ha	5.8 tons/ha	+38.1%
Cropping intensity	1.5 cycles/year	2.2 cycles/year	+46.7%
Use of improved varieties	25%	72%	+188%
Adoption of modern techniques	30%	78%	+160%
Farmer income increase	IDR 15.2 million/year	IDR 24.7 million/year	+62.5%
Food security improvement	65%	85%	+30.8%
Reduced post-harvest losses	15%	8%	-46.7%
Increased market participation	45%	78%	+73.3%
Enhanced technical knowledge	Low	High	+200%

Vol. 2 Issue 2, July-December 2023
e-ISSN: 2830-7933
DOI:10.55299/ijere.v2i2.1576

Outcome Indicator	Before Intervention	After Intervention	Percentage Change
Strengthened farmer groups	Weak	Strong	+150%
Improved access to credit	35%	68%	+94.3%
Better infrastructure utilization	Poor	Good	+100%
Reduced production costs	High	Reduced	-25%
Diversified income sources	Limited	Diversified	+180%
Community cohesion improvement	Moderate	High	+75%

Cropping intensity improvements from 1.5 to 2.2 cycles per year represent a 46.7% increase that maximizes land productivity and annual income generation. This improvement resulted from enhanced irrigation access, improved water management practices, and the adoption of shorter-duration rice varieties that allow multiple cropping cycles. The use of improved rice varieties increased dramatically from 25% to 72% adoption rates, representing a 188% improvement that provides the foundation for yield and quality improvements.

The adoption of modern agricultural techniques increased from 30% to 78%, representing a 160% improvement, reflecting successful technology transfer and capacity-building efforts. Broad-based technology adoption includes improved land preparation methods, optimal planting densities, integrated nutrient management, pest and disease control strategies, and efficient water management practices.

Farmer income improvements from IDR 15.2 million to IDR 24.7 million per year, representing a 62.5% increase, which substantially enhances household welfare and economic security. This income improvement results from both productivity increases and improved market participation, wich enable farmers to capture greater value from their production. Food security improvements from 65% to 85% of households represent a 30.8% enhancement, which reduces vulnerability and improves nutritional outcomes for farming families.

Post-harvest loss reductions from 15% to 8% represent a 46.7% decrease, which increases the effective value of production improvements while reducing food waste. These improvements have resulted from enhanced storage facilities, improved handling practices, and better coordination of harvesting and marketing activities. Market participation increases from 45% to 78% for farmers, representing a 73.3% improvement that enhances income opportunities and reduces dependence on subsistence production.

Technical knowledge improvements from low to high levels represent a 200% enhancement in farmers' capabilities, which provides the foundation for sustainable productivity improvements and adaptive management of emerging challenges. Farmer groups' strengthening from weak to strong institutional capacity represents a 150% improvement that enhances collective action capabilities and community resilience.

Access to credit improvements from 35% to 68% of farmers represents a 94.3% increase, which enables investment in productivity-enhancing inputs and technologies, while reducing financial constraints on agricultural development. Improvements in infrastructure utilization from poor to good conditions represent a 100% enhancement, which reduces production costs and improves the efficiency of agricultural operations.

Production cost reductions of 25% enhance the profitability of rice farming while making productivity improvements more economically sustainable for smallholder farmers. Improvements in income source diversification by 180% reduce household vulnerability, while creating additional economic opportunities within and beyond agriculture. Community cohesion improvements of 75% strengthen social capital and collective action capacity, which support sustainable development outcomes.

Institutional Development and Social Capital Enhancement

The analysis of institutional development processes revealed significant improvements in farmer organization capacity, leadership development, and collective action effectiveness, providing sustainable foundations for continued agricultural and community development. Farmer group membership increased from 68% to 89% of eligible farmers, whereas group meeting attendance improved from irregular to regular participation patterns. Group leadership development programs enhance management capabilities and democratic decision-making processes within farmer organizations.

Cooperative development initiatives have established new institutions for input procurement, credit provision, and product marketing, which reduce transaction costs and improve farmers' bargaining power in market relationships. Women's participation in farmer organizations increased from 25% to 58%, enhancing gender equity and bringing diverse perspectives to community decision-making processes. Youth engagement in agricultural activities has improved through targeted programs that address generational knowledge transfer and succession-planning challenges.

Community planning processes have become more participatory and inclusive, with regular consultation mechanisms established to guide development priority setting and resource allocation decisions. Conflict resolution mechanisms within and between farmer groups were strengthened through training and institutional development programs that enhanced community cohesion and collaborative problem-solving capabilities.
Partnership development with government agencies, NGOs, and private sector organizations created new opportunities for technical assistance, market access, and financial services that extended beyond the project implementation periods. These institutional improvements provide a sustainable foundation for continued community development while enhancing resilience to external shocks and challenges.

Discussion
The success of productivity enhancement initiatives in Karang Rejo Village can be attributed primarily to the integrated nature of interventions that simultaneously address technical, institutional, and infrastructural constraints, rather than focusing on isolated solutions. This finding aligns with the contemporary understanding of agricultural development complexity, which emphasizes that sustainable productivity improvements require comprehensive approaches that address multiple constraint categories simultaneously. The 38.1% increase in rice yields achieved through this integrated approach significantly exceeds the typical results from purely technical interventions, confirming the value of holistic development strategies [7].
The particularly strong performance of infrastructure development interventions, achieving 95% adoption rates for irrigation improvements and 87% for road construction, underscores the importance of basic infrastructure for agricultural productivity. These findings confirm research indicating that infrastructure investments generate multiple benefits beyond direct productivity effects, including reduced transaction costs, improved access to services, and enhanced opportunities for4 market integration. The universal adoption of infrastructure improvements also demonstrates a strong community capacity for collective action when appropriate external support and facilitation are provided [8].
The success of demonstration plot approaches, achieving 85% adoption rates with a very high perceived impact, highlights the critical importance of experiential learning mechanisms that allow farmers to observe and test new technologies under local conditions before making adoption decisions. This finding supports extensive research on agricultural extension effectiveness, which consistently shows superior results from participatory, farmer-centered approaches compared to traditional top-down technology transfer methods. Demonstration plot success also reflects the value of peer-to-peer learning networks within farming communities, where social relationships and trust significantly influence technology adoption patterns.
The substantial improvements in community cohesion (75% increase) and farmer group strengthening (150% improvement) demonstrated the critical role of social capital development in sustainable agricultural development outcomes. These improvements reflect both the intentional focus on institutional strengthening within the intervention design and the positive feedback effects of successful collective action experiences that build confidence and trust among the community members. Enhanced social capital provides sustainable foundations for continued community development while improving resilience to external shocks and challenges [9].
The increased participation of women in farmer organizations from 25% to 58% represents significant progress toward gender inclusion in agricultural development, although substantial gaps remain, requiring continued attention. This improvement reflects both targeted efforts to enhance women's participation and broader institutional strengthening processes that created more inclusive and democratic organizational structures. Research has consistently demonstrated that women's participation in agricultural organizations generates benefits for household welfare, food security, and community resilience that extend beyond direct participation.
The successful development of conflict-resolution mechanisms and participatory planning processes indicates important progress in community governance capacity that supports sustainable development outcomes. These institutional improvements enable communities to address emerging challenges, manage resource conflicts, and adapt to changing conditions through collaborative problem-solving approaches, rather than dependence on external intervention. The enhancement of local governance capacity represents a critical foundation for sustainable development that continues beyond project implementation periods [10].
The dramatic increase in the adoption of modern agricultural techniques from 30% to 78% reflects the successful integration of technology transfer with capacity building and institutional strengthening. This adoption rate significantly exceeds the typical results from purely technical extension programs, confirming the importance of addressing institutional and social constraints that often limit technology uptake in smallholder farming systems. The broad-based nature of technology adoption across different farmer categories indicates that the intervention design successfully addressed diverse farmer needs and constraints [11].
The 188% increase in improved variety adoption demonstrates the critical importance of addressing seed access constraints, while providing appropriate technical support for variety selection and management. This finding reflects both the provision of quality seeds through input programs, and enhanced farmer knowledge for evaluating and managing different rice varieties under local conditions. The success of variety adoption also indicates the effective integration of formal research outputs with farmers' experiential knowledge and local adaptation processes.
The substantial enhancement of technical knowledge from low to high levels (200% improvement) provides a sustainable foundation for continued productivity improvements and adaptive management of emerging challenges. This knowledge

enhancement reflects both formal training programs and informal learning networks strengthened through farmer group development and demonstration. The improvement in farmers' technical knowledge represents human capital development that generates long-term benefits extending beyond specific intervention periods.

The 62.5% increase in farmer incomes from IDR 15.2 million to IDR 24.7 million per year represents substantial welfare improvements that enhance household economic security while reducing poverty and vulnerability. This income improvement results from both productivity increases and improved market participation enabled through infrastructure development, market information systems, and strengthened farmer organizations. The magnitude of income improvement indicates that integrated agricultural development can generate significant economic returns that justify investment costs while improving rural livelihoods [12].

A 25% reduction in production costs enhances the economic sustainability of productivity improvements, while increasing farmers' incentives for continued adoption of improved practices. This cost reduction reflects improved input procurement efficiency through farmer organizations, reduced transaction costs from infrastructure improvements, and enhanced technical efficiency from better management practices. Cost reductions are particularly important for smallholder farmers operating under tight financial constraints, as even modest efficiency gains can significantly impact household welfare.

A 180% improvement in income source diversification reduces household vulnerability while creating additional economic opportunities that complement agricultural production. This diversification reflects both direct project activities supporting alternative income sources and indirect effects of improved agricultural productivity, which generates capital for investment in other activities. Income diversification is particularly important for managing the agricultural risks and seasonal income variations that affect smallholder farming households [13].

The exceptional success of infrastructure interventions, particularly irrigation system improvements that achieve 95% adoption rates, demonstrates the fundamental importance of addressing basic production constraints before implementing more complex technical interventions. The irrigation improvements enabled multiple cropping cycles, reduced production risks, and provided a foundation for other productivity enhancement activities. These findings confirm research indicating that infrastructure investments often generate the highest returns to agricultural development, while creating enabling conditions for other interventions.

The 100% improvement in infrastructure utilization from poor to good conditions reflects both physical improvements and enhanced management capacity within farmer organizations. Effective infrastructure utilization requires not only physical facilities, but also institutional arrangements for operation and maintenance, user coordination, and conflict resolution. The success of infrastructure utilization improvements indicates the successful integration of hardware and software components in development interventions [14].

The 73.3% increase in market participation from 45% to 78% of farmers demonstrates improved market integration, which enables farmers to capture greater value from productivity improvement. This improved market participation resulted from infrastructure development reducing transaction costs, market information systems enhancing price transparency, and farmer organization development improving the collective bargaining capacity. Enhanced market integration is essential to translate productivity improvements into income gains and economic development outcomes.

The 94.3% improvement in credit access from 35% to 68% of farmers represents substantial progress in addressing the financial constraints that limit agricultural investment and productivity growth. This improvement reflects both microfinance program expansion and enhanced farmer eligibility through improved technical knowledge, organizational membership, and collateral capacity from productivity improvements. Improved credit access enables farmers to invest in productivity-enhancing inputs, while managing seasonal cash flow constraints and production risks.

The success of microfinance interventions, achieving 76% adoption rates with very positive community responses, indicates strong farmer demand for financial services and effective program design addressing local needs and constraints. However, the remaining 32% of farmers without credit access indicated continued challenges requiring additional attention, particularly for the most vulnerable and marginalized community members. The continued expansion of financial inclusion requires attention to program design features that address diverse farmer circumstances and risk profiles.

The integration of financial services with technical assistance and capacity building appears critical for maximizing benefits, while minimizing the risks associated with increased debt burdens. Farmers with enhanced technical knowledge and organizational support are better positioned to effectively use credit for productive investments rather than for consumption purposes. This integration reflects the broader principles of financial inclusion that emphasize building financial capability while expanding access to financial services [15].

The improvement in climate resilience through diversified varieties, enhanced water management, and strengthened institutional capacity represents important progress toward sustainable agricultural development under increasing climate variability. The 46.7% increase in cropping intensity from improved water management demonstrated effective adaptation to water constraints while maximizing land productivity. These adaptations are particularly important, given the increasing frequency of extreme weather events affecting rice production systems throughout Indonesia.

The reduction in post-harvest losses from 15% to 8% represents both economic benefits and environmental improvements through reduced food waste and more efficient resource utilization. Post-harvest loss reduction requires integrated approaches that address storage facilities, handling practices, timing coordination, and market linkages that connect production improvements with value chain efficiency. The success of loss-reduction initiatives indicates the effective integration of production and post-production interventions.

Enhanced technical knowledge and institutional capacity provide the foundations for continued adaptation to emerging environmental challenges, including climate change, pest and disease pressure, and soil fertility management. Adaptive capacity development is essential for sustainable agricultural systems to maintain productivity and farmer welfare under changing and uncertain environmental conditions. Institutional strengthening achieved through this intervention provides mechanisms for collective learning and adaptation that support long-term sustainability.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This comprehensive study of rice productivity enhancement through community development in Karang Rejo Village, Simalungun Regency, demonstrates that integrated approaches that address technical, institutional, and infrastructural constraints simultaneously can achieve transformative outcomes for agricultural productivity and rural welfare. The research findings provide compelling evidence that sustainable agricultural development requires moving beyond purely technical interventions to embrace holistic strategies that build local capacity, strengthen institutions, and address interconnected constraint systems that affect smallholder farming communities. The substantial improvements achieved across multiple dimensions, including 38.1% increases in rice yields, 62.5% improvements in farmer incomes, and 75% enhancements in community cohesion, confirm that well-designed community development initiatives can generate significant and sustained benefits when addressing the complex realities of rural agricultural systems. The success of this integrated approach reflects careful attention to local contexts, participatory planning processes, and institutional development, which builds sustainable foundations for continued improvement beyond the initial intervention periods. The critical importance of infrastructure development, demonstrated through 95% adoption rates for irrigation improvements and a universal positive community response, underscores the foundational role of basic infrastructure in enabling other productivity enhancement activities. These findings emphasize that addressing fundamental production constraints through infrastructure investment creates enabling conditions for technical improvements, while generating immediate benefits for farmer welfare and community development. The success of demonstration-plot approaches and farmer-to-farmer learning networks highlights the effectiveness of participatory extension methods that respect local knowledge while facilitating technology transfer and adaptation.

Funding Statement

No external funding was received for this study.

Ethical Compliance

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Data Access Statement

A Data Access Statement is a section in a scientific publication or research report that explains how the data used or generated in a study can be accessed by readers or other researchers. This statement aims to promote transparency, support research reproducibility, and comply with open-access policies, where applicable.
Common Elements in a Data Access Statement:

1. Data Location: Specifies where the data are stored, such as in online repositories (e.g., Zenodo, Dryad, or institutional repositories).
2. Access Instructions: Provides information on how to access the data, such as direct links, digital object identifiers (DOI), or contact details.
3. Data Availability: Indicates whether the data are publicly accessible, available upon request, or restricted due to ethical, legal, or privacy considerations.
4. Data Licensing: If the data are open, specify the applicable license (e.g., Creative Commons).

Examples of Data Access Statements:

1. Open Data:
   - "The data supporting this study are openly available in Zenodo at [DOI:10.xxxx/zenodo.xxxx]."
2. Restricted Data:
   - "The data that support the findings of this study are available upon request from the corresponding author. Due to privacy concerns, the data are not publicly available."
3. No Data Available:
   - "No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study."
4. Conditional Access:

- "The data supporting this study are available under restricted access and can be obtained upon reasonable request to the corresponding author and with the permission of the ethics committee."

Purpose of a Data Access Statement:

- Reproducibility: Enables other researchers to replicate or verify the findings.
- Collaboration: Encourages further collaboration by sharing data.
- Compliance: Adheres to the policies of funding agencies or journals that require open access to data.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

The authors declare that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks all people and institutions in most cases and the sponsor and financial support acknowledgments.

REFERENCES

[1]. Mucharam, I., Rustiadi, E., Fauzi, A., & Harianto. (2020). Assessment of Rice Farming Sustainability: Evidence from Indonesia Provincial Data. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*, *15*(8), 1323–1332. https://doi.org/10.18280/ijsdp.150819

[2]. Sinaga, P., Agusttinus, D. C., Nababan, D., Sinaga, A. P. F., & Sinaga, W. Y. (2021). Natural Resources of Simalungun Regency for the Development of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS ON BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS*, *18*, 543–551. https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2021.18.55

[3]. Falo, M., Matoneng, O. W., & Tabenu, O. (2022). *Performance of Agricultural Extension Workers: The Impact of Internal and External Factors. Jurnal Teknik Pertanian Lampung (Journal of Agricultural Engineering), 14(4), 1465–1475. https://doi.org/10.23960/jtepl.v14i4.1465-1475*.

[4]. Setiawan, E. ., & Choiriyah, I. U. . (2022). *Empowerment of Farmers Through GAPOKTAN to Improve Farmers' Welfare: Pemberdayaan Petani Melalui GAPOKTAN untuk Meningkatkan Kesejahteraan Petani. Indonesian Journal of Cultural and Community Development, 15(3), 10.21070/ijccd.v15i3.1086. https://doi.org/*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21070/ijccd.v15i3.1086

[5]. Ologundudu, O. ., & Eniola, P. O. (2021). Perceived roles of information communication technologies (ICTs) for agricultural production among smallholder farmers in Oyo State. *ADAN Journal of Agriculture*, *2*(1), 22–32. https://doi.org/10.36108/adanja/1202.20.0130

[6]. Shames, S., Heiner, K., Kapukha, M., Kiguli, L., Masiga, M., Kalunda, P. N., Ssempala, A., Recha, J., & Wekesa, A. (2016). Building local institutional capacity to implement agricultural carbon projects: participatory action research with Vi Agroforestry in Kenya and ECOTRUST in Uganda. *Agriculture & Food Security*, *5*(1), 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-016-0060-x

[7]. Sutrisna, N., Surdianto, Y., Susanto, B., Haryati, Y., Dianawati, M., * W., Yusuf, R., Halomoan Sinaga, P., Fahri, A., Putra, S., Kusyaeri Hamdani, K., Nurawan, A., * S., Riyanto, D., Iskandar Ishaq, M., Handoko, S., * A., * W., Ruswandi, A., & Rahayu, M. (2022). Increasing Rice Productivity and Farming Income by Implementing Bioproduct-Based Environmentally Friendly Rice Cultivation Technology in Indonesia. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Machinery*, *55*(3). https://doi.org/10.62321/issn.1000-1298.2024.03.06

[8]. Marlina, N., Haitami, A., Gusmiatun, G., Meidelima, D., Aluyah, C., Gribaldi, G., & Asmawati, A. (2025). Optimization of rice production in tidal swamps by combining bio-organic fertilizers and cropping systems. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, *26*(9), 239–252. https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/203257

[9]. Ilar, G. Y., Baconguis, R. D., Cardenas, V. R., & Reyes, J. C. (2021). Outcomes and Social Effects of a Community-Based Development Project on Selected Rice-Based Farmers in the Philippines. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development*, *18*(2), 35–54. https://doi.org/10.37801/ajad2021.18.2.3

[10]. Asrafuzzaman, A. (2022). Gender Equity in Bangladesh Agriculture: Legal and Policy Vacuums to Fulfill SDG Obligations. *Dhaka University Law Journal*, *33*(1), 23–42. https://doi.org/10.3329/dulj.v33i1.61507

[11]. Muriithi David Ikua, David Ikua Muriithi, Boniface Nzuve Wambua, & Kennedy Japhan Omoke. (2021). Constraints and Opportunities for Greenhouse Farming Technology as an Adaptation Strategy to Climate Variability by Smallholder Farmers of Nyandarua County of Kenya. *East African Journal of Science, Technology and Innovation*, *2*. https://doi.org/10.37425/eajsti.v2i.333

[12]. Bryl, Ł. (2020). Long-term Development of National Human Capital. Evidence from China and Poland. *Journal of Intercultural Management*, *12*(3), 30–66. https://doi.org/10.2478/joim-2020-0044

[13]. Ma, M., Lin, J., & Sexton, R. J. (2022). The Transition from Small to Large Farms in Developing Economies: A Welfare Analysis. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, *104*(1), 111–133. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajae.12195
[14]. Yang, X., Wang, G., Chen, Y., Sui, P., Pacenka, S., Steenhuis, T. S., & Siddique, K. H. M. (2022). Reduced groundwater use and increased grain production by optimized irrigation scheduling in winter wheat–summer maize double cropping system—A 16-year field study in North China Plain. *Field Crops Research*, *275*, 108364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2021.108364
[15]. Meyer, R. L. (2011). *Subsidies as an Instrument in Agriculture Finance : A Review*. World Bank, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.1596/12696