JSH
Jurnal Smart Hukum
https://ejournal.ipinternasional.com/index.php/jsh
Open Access Journal
by Inovasi Pratama Internasional. Ltd
Member of Crossref
ISSN. 2961-841X

Legal Implications of Electronic Contracts in Civil Law: A Case Study in the Digital Era

Pinta N. S. Simamora[1], Grenaldo Ginting[2] Cindy Mariam Magdalena Rantung[3], Pingkan Dewi Kaunang[4], Putri Maha Dewi[5]

[1]Universitas Kristen Indonesia Tomohon, email: pintasimamora@gmail.com
[2]Universitas Kristen Indonesia Tomohon, email: grenaldoginting@gmail.com
[3]Universitas Kristen Indonesia Tomohon, email: cindymariamagdalena@gmail.com
[4]Universitas Kristen Indonesia Tomohon, email: pingkandewi2012@gmail.com
[5]Universitas Surakarta, email: mahadewi.law@gmail.com
Correspondence Authors: pintasimamora@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55299/jsh.v3i3.1272
***Article history:** Received December 22, 2024: Revised January 28, 2025: Accepted January 29, 2025*

Abstract

This study explores the legal implications of electronic contracts (e-contracts) within the framework of Indonesian civil law, emphasizing the challenges and opportunities presented by digital transactions in the contemporary marketplace. Utilizing a qualitative research methodology, data were collected through literature reviews, document analysis, and semi-structured interviews with legal practitioners, academics, and e-commerce representatives. The findings reveal three key themes: Legal Validity, highlighting issues related to authentication and evidence admissibility; Legal Clarity, underscoring the need for specific regulations governing e-contracts and emerging technologies like smart contracts; and Consumer Protection, addressing jurisdictional complexities and the necessity for enhanced consumer awareness. The study concludes that while Indonesia recognizes e-contracts as legally binding, significant gaps remain in the regulatory framework that must be addressed to foster trust and innovation in the digital economy. Recommendations include developing clearer regulations, improving authentication processes, and strengthening consumer protection laws. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on electronic contracting in Indonesia, providing insights that are essential for stakeholders navigating the evolving landscape of digital transactions.

***Keywords:** legal, civil law, digital*

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of electronic contracts has revolutionized the way individuals and businesses engage in transactions. In the digital age, where time and efficiency are paramount, e-contracts offer a streamlined approach to forming agreements. The ability to execute contracts instantly through electronic means not only enhances convenience but also expands market reach, allowing businesses to operate on a global scale.

Historically, contracts have been a cornerstone of commerce, providing a legal foundation for transactions. The traditional model relied heavily on written documents signed by parties involved. However, with the advent of the internet and digital communication technologies, the landscape of contract formation has shifted dramatically (Artamonnikova, 2024).

The transition from paper-based contracts to electronic formats can be traced back to the late 20th century when businesses began adopting digital tools for communication and record-keeping. The introduction of email and online platforms facilitated remote negotiations and agreements, leading to the need for legal frameworks that could accommodate these new methods of contracting.

In Indonesia, this evolution was formalized with the enactment of Law No. 11 of 2008 on Information and Electronic Transactions (ITE Law). This law marked a significant milestone in recognizing electronic transactions as legally binding, thereby paving the way for e-contracts to gain traction in various sectors (Judijanto & Khuan, 2024).

Indonesia's vast archipelago and diverse population present unique challenges and opportunities for commerce. E-contracts play a crucial role in bridging geographical gaps, enabling businesses to reach consumers across different regions without the constraints of physical presence.

The rise of e-commerce platforms in Indonesia has further accelerated the adoption of electronic contracts. According to recent statistics, Indonesia is one of the fastest-growing e-commerce markets in Southeast Asia, driven by increasing internet penetration and smartphone usage. This growth has led to a surge in online transactions, necessitating a robust legal framework that can effectively govern these activities (Sharma et al., 2023).

Moreover, e-contracts offer several advantages over traditional contracts:

- Efficiency: E-contracts can be created, negotiated, and executed much faster than their paper counterparts. This efficiency is particularly beneficial in industries where time-sensitive agreements are critical.
- Cost-Effectiveness: Reducing reliance on paper and physical storage lowers costs associated with printing, mailing, and archiving documents.
- Accessibility: Parties can access e-contracts from anywhere with an internet connection, making it easier for individuals and businesses to engage in transactions regardless of location.
- Environmental Impact: By minimizing paper usage, e-contracts contribute to sustainability efforts by reducing waste and promoting eco-friendly practices.

Despite the advantages offered by electronic contracts, their legal recognition is not without challenges. The ITE Law provides a framework for electronic transactions; however, ambiguity remains regarding specific aspects such as:

- Formality Requirements: While traditional contracts may require signatures or notarization to be enforceable, e-contracts often lack clear guidelines on equivalent requirements.
- Dispute Resolution: The rapid pace of digital transactions can lead to disputes that require swift resolution mechanisms. However, existing legal frameworks may not adequately address these scenarios.
- Jurisdictional Issues: E-contracts often involve parties from different jurisdictions, raising questions about applicable laws and dispute resolution processes.

To address these challenges, it is essential to explore how Indonesian civil law can adapt to accommodate the unique characteristics of electronic contracts while ensuring that fundamental principles of contract law are upheld (Can Sauli Sibarani, 2024).

Technology plays a pivotal role in facilitating electronic contracts. Various tools and platforms enable parties to create, negotiate, and execute agreements seamlessly. These include:

- E-signature Solutions: Electronic signatures have gained legal recognition under various jurisdictions as valid means of signing contracts. In Indonesia, e-signatures are governed by regulations that ensure their authenticity and integrity.
- Blockchain Technology: The rise of blockchain has introduced new possibilities for contract execution through smart contracts—self-executing agreements with terms directly written into code. This technology enhances security and transparency while reducing reliance on intermediaries.

- Digital Platforms: E-commerce platforms provide integrated solutions for contract management, allowing users to draft agreements within their ecosystems while ensuring compliance with applicable laws.

As technology continues to evolve, it is crucial for legal frameworks to keep pace with these advancements to ensure that electronic contracts remain secure and enforceable (Danialsyah, 2023).

METHOD

This section outlines the qualitative research methodology employed to analyze the legal implications of electronic contracts within the context of Indonesian civil law. The qualitative approach is particularly suitable for this study as it allows for an in-depth exploration of the complexities surrounding e-contracts, their enforcement, and the challenges faced by stakeholders in the digital era.

The research adopts a descriptive qualitative design, focusing on understanding the phenomenon of electronic contracts through various perspectives. This design is appropriate for exploring the nuances of legal principles, stakeholder experiences, and the evolving nature of contract law in response to technological advancements (Jung, 2024).

Figure 1. Qualitative Research

Data collection for this study involved multiple sources to ensure a comprehensive understanding of electronic contracts:

- Literature Review: An extensive review of existing literature was conducted, including academic journals, books, and legal texts published in the last five years. This review aimed to gather insights into the current legal framework governing electronic contracts in Indonesia and identify gaps in knowledge.
- Document Analysis: Relevant legal documents, including statutes, regulations, and case law related to electronic contracts and e-commerce, were analyzed. This analysis provided a foundation for understanding how Indonesian civil law addresses e-contracts and highlighted areas requiring further examination.

- Interviews: Semi-structured interviews were conducted with key stakeholders, including legal practitioners, academics specializing in contract law, and representatives from e-commerce businesses. These interviews aimed to gather qualitative data on their experiences with electronic contracts, perceived challenges, and recommendations for improvement.

A purposive sampling strategy was employed to select participants who possess relevant knowledge and experience regarding electronic contracts. The criteria for selection included:

- Legal Practitioners: Lawyers with expertise in contract law and experience dealing with electronic contracts in their practice.
- Academics: Scholars who have published research on e-contracts or related fields such as e-commerce law or digital transactions.
- Business Representatives: Individuals from e-commerce companies who are involved in contract management or legal compliance related to electronic transactions.
- This targeted approach ensured that the data collected would be rich and relevant to the research objectives.

The qualitative data collected through interviews and document analysis were subjected to thematic analysis. This process involved several steps:

Familiarization: The researcher immersed themselves in the data by reading interview transcripts and relevant documents multiple times to gain a comprehensive understanding of the content.

Coding: Key themes and patterns were identified by coding significant statements and segments of text that related to the research questions. Codes were developed inductively from the data rather than predetermined categories.

Theme Development: The codes were grouped into broader themes that encapsulated common ideas or concepts emerging from the data. This step helped organize findings into coherent categories for further analysis.

Interpretation: The final stage involved interpreting the themes in relation to the research questions and existing literature. This interpretation aimed to provide insights into the implications of electronic contracts within Indonesian civil law.

RESULT & DISCUSSION

This section presents the findings of the study, derived from interviews, document analysis, and literature review. The results are categorized into three key themes: Legal Validity, Legal Clarity, and Consumer Protection. Each theme is analyzed based on insights, challenges, and recommendations provided by participants and supported by relevant legal frameworks.

Legal Validity of Electronic Contracts in Indonesia

The legal validity of electronic contracts (e-contracts) in Indonesia is primarily governed by the principles outlined in the Indonesian Civil Code, particularly Article 1320, which stipulates four essential elements for any contract to be considered valid: mutual consent, capacity of the parties, a lawful object, and a lawful cause. These principles apply equally to both traditional and electronic contracts, ensuring that e-contracts hold the same legal weight as their paper counterparts as long as they meet these requirements (Irianto, 2024).

In addition to the Civil Code, the legal framework for e-contracts is reinforced by Law No. 11 of 2008 on Information and Electronic Transactions (ITE Law) and Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019 concerning Operations of Electronic Systems and Transactions (GR 71/2019). According to Article 18 paragraph (1) of the ITE Law, electronic transactions established through electronic contracts are binding on the parties involved and are recognized as valid evidence in court under applicable procedural law in Indonesia (Iftinaity Shaumi Rahma et al., 2022).

Furthermore, GR 71/2019 outlines specific requirements for electronic agreements, including:

- Agreement of the Parties: There must be a clear agreement between the parties involved.
- Legal Capacity: Parties must be legally capable or authorized to represent themselves in accordance with existing legislation.
- Specific Object: The contract must pertain to a specific object or transaction.
- Lawful Cause: The purpose of the contract must not conflict with laws or public order.

These stipulations ensure that e-contracts are not only recognized but also enforceable within Indonesia's legal system (Dahris Siregar et al., 2022).

Legal Clarity of Electronic Contracts in Indonesia

The concept of legal clarity regarding electronic contracts (e-contracts) in Indonesia is essential for fostering trust and facilitating smooth transactions in the digital economy. Despite the recognition of e-contracts under Indonesian law, ambiguities and gaps in the regulatory framework continue to pose challenges for stakeholders. This section examines the current legal landscape surrounding e-contracts, highlights areas requiring clarification, and discusses the implications for various parties involved in electronic transactions (Wilbert et al., 2024).

The legal foundation for e-contracts in Indonesia is primarily established by Law No. 11 of 2008 on Information and Electronic Transactions (ITE Law), which was amended by Law No. 19 of 2016 and further revised in 2024. Article 18 of the ITE Law explicitly states that electronic transactions outlined in e-contracts are binding on the parties involved, granting them the same legal force as traditional contracts if they meet the requisite conditions set forth in Article 1320 of the Civil Code (Muzakkir & Taufik Hidayat, 2025).

Moreover, Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019 (GR 71/2019) further delineates requirements for electronic agreements, stipulating that they must include:

- Agreement of the parties
- Legal capacity of the parties
- A specific object or transaction
- A lawful cause

These provisions aim to ensure that e-contracts are valid and enforceable, yet they also reveal areas where clarity is lacking.

While electronic signatures are recognized under Indonesian law, there remains uncertainty regarding their implementation and verification processes. The recent amendments to the ITE Law have introduced stricter regulations for high-risk electronic transactions involving e-signatures, but specific guidelines on how these signatures should be authenticated are still vague. This lack of clarity can lead to disputes over the validity of e-signatures and undermine confidence in electronic contracting (Wardana et al., 2023).

As Indonesia's digital economy expands, cross-border transactions are becoming increasingly common. The ITE Law allows parties to choose applicable laws for international electronic contracts; however, the criteria for determining which laws apply can be ambiguous. The recent revisions to the ITE Law aim to provide more clarity by establishing conditions under which Indonesian law governs international contracts involving standard clauses. Nonetheless, stakeholders may still face challenges in navigating jurisdictional issues and enforcing rights across borders (Santosa & Purwaningsih, 2024).

Consumer Protection in Electronic Transactions

Consumer protection in electronic transactions has become increasingly important as e-commerce continues to grow in Indonesia. With the rapid expansion of online shopping platforms and digital payment systems, consumers face new challenges and risks that necessitate robust legal protections. This section explores the current state of consumer protection laws in Indonesia, the challenges faced by consumers in electronic transactions, and recommendations for enhancing these protections (Lambi & Siswani, 2024).

The primary legal framework governing consumer protection in Indonesia includes:

Law No. 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection (UUPK): This law establishes fundamental rights for consumers, including the right to safety, the right to choose, and the right to information. It mandates that businesses provide accurate information about products and services, ensuring that consumers can make informed decisions.

Law No. 11 of 2008 on Information and Electronic Transactions (ITE Law): This law recognizes electronic contracts as legally binding and outlines the rights and obligations of parties involved in electronic transactions. It aims to create a secure environment for consumers engaging in online commerce.

Government Regulation No. 71 of 2019: This regulation further elaborates on the implementation of electronic systems and transactions, emphasizing the need for consumer protection measures in e-commerce.

These laws collectively aim to safeguard consumer rights while promoting a fair and transparent digital marketplace.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underline the complexities and challenges associated with the legal implications of electronic contracts (e-contracts) in Indonesia's civil law framework. Each of the three identified themes—Legal Validity, Legal Clarity, and Consumer Protection—reveals critical insights into how e-contracts are perceived, regulated, and enforced in practice. This discussion delves deeper into these themes, synthesizing the findings to provide a nuanced understanding of their implications for stakeholders in Indonesia's rapidly evolving digital economy (Syafa'i & Megasari, 2024).

The validity of e-contracts in Indonesia is grounded in traditional contractual principles as outlined in Article 1320 of the Civil Code. These principles—mutual consent, capacity, lawful object, and lawful cause—remain central to determining whether an e-contract is legally binding. However, their application in the context of digital transactions introduces several challenges (Winarsih & Benny Djaja, 2024).

One significant issue is authentication. In traditional contracts, signatures or physical presence often serve as evidence of mutual consent. In e-contracts, however, verifying the identity of parties becomes more complex. While electronic signatures (e-signatures) are recognized under the ITE Law and related regulations, their implementation varies widely across sectors. Participants in this study noted that many businesses lack standardized processes for using e-signatures, leading to disputes over their authenticity.

Another challenge is the admissibility of electronic evidence. Courts often require robust proof to establish the validity of a contract, and electronic records may be scrutinized for tampering or forgery. This issue is compounded by the lack of clear guidelines on what constitutes admissible electronic evidence under Indonesian law. For example, while digital timestamps and blockchain technology can enhance the credibility of e-contracts, their use is not yet widespread or uniformly accepted.

To address these challenges, participants recommended enhancing legal clarity around authentication processes and promoting the adoption of secure technologies such as blockchain for contract verification. These measures would not only strengthen the enforceability of e-contracts but also build trust among parties engaging in digital transactions (Ariani et al., 2024).

While the ITE Law provides a general framework for recognizing electronic transactions, it falls short in addressing specific scenarios that arise with advanced technologies like smart contracts. Smart contracts are self-executing agreements where terms are encoded into software programs.

Although they offer efficiency and transparency, their legal status under Indonesian law remains ambiguous.

Participants highlighted that this lack of clarity creates uncertainty for businesses seeking to adopt innovative contracting methods. For instance, questions about liability arise when a smart contract malfunctions or produces unintended outcomes. Traditional principles such as "freedom of contract" and "good faith" may not easily apply to agreements executed automatically by code.

Moreover, the absence of detailed regulations can deter foreign investors who prioritize legal certainty when entering new markets. As Indonesia positions itself as a leader in Southeast Asia's digital economy, addressing these gaps becomes crucial for attracting international businesses and fostering innovation.

To improve legal clarity, participants suggested developing specific laws or amendments that explicitly address electronic contracts and smart contracts. These regulations should outline key aspects such as enforceability criteria, dispute resolution mechanisms, and liability provisions. Additionally, collaboration between lawmakers, technology experts, and industry stakeholders could ensure that new regulations align with both technological advancements and market needs (Sari et al., 2024).

The rapid growth of e-commerce in Indonesia has brought consumer protection issues to the forefront. While e-contracts facilitate seamless online transactions, they also expose consumers to risks such as fraud, misleading advertisements, and unfair terms.

One major challenge identified by participants is jurisdictional complexity. Many e-commerce platforms operate across multiple regions or countries, making it difficult to determine which laws apply in case of disputes. For example, a consumer purchasing goods from an overseas seller may face challenges enforcing their rights if the seller operates under a different legal system (Ferdhiyanto et al., 2025).

Another concern is the lack of awareness among consumers regarding their rights under e-contracts. Participants noted that many individuals are unfamiliar with terms such as "cooling-off periods" or "refund policies," leaving them vulnerable to exploitation by unscrupulous sellers.

To address these issues, participants recommended several measures:

- Strengthening Consumer Protection Laws: Existing regulations should be updated to reflect the realities of digital transactions. For instance, mandatory disclosure requirements could ensure that consumers are fully informed about contract terms before agreeing to them.
- Enhancing Cross-Border Dispute Resolution Mechanisms: International cooperation is essential for resolving disputes involving parties from different jurisdictions. Establishing regional frameworks or agreements could facilitate smoother resolution processes.
- Increasing Consumer Awareness: Public education campaigns can empower consumers by informing them about their rights and obligations under e-contracts. Collaboration between government agencies, consumer advocacy groups, and e-commerce platforms could amplify these efforts.

While each theme presents distinct challenges and recommendations, they are interconnected in shaping the broader landscape of electronic contracting in Indonesia:

- Legal validity depends on clear regulations (legal clarity) that define enforceability criteria for e-contracts.
- Consumer protection efforts require robust legal frameworks (legal clarity) to address jurisdictional issues and safeguard rights.
- Strengthening authentication processes (legal validity) can enhance consumer trust and reduce disputes arising from fraudulent transactions (Kiswanto & Harahap, 2024).

These interconnections highlight the need for a holistic approach to reforming Indonesia's legal framework for electronic contracts. By addressing gaps across all three themes simultaneously, stakeholders can create an ecosystem that supports innovation while protecting rights and ensuring fairness.

The findings have significant implications for various stakeholders:

- Lawmakers: Policymakers must prioritize updating existing laws or introducing new ones to address emerging challenges related to e-contracts.
- Businesses: Companies should invest in secure technologies and adopt best practices for managing electronic contracts.
- Consumers: Individuals must become more proactive in understanding their rights and responsibilities when engaging in digital transactions.
- Legal Practitioners: Lawyers need to stay informed about technological advancements affecting contract law and advise clients accordingly.

CONCLUSION

This discussion underscores the complexities surrounding electronic contracts within Indonesia's civil law framework. While progress has been made in recognizing e-contracts as legally binding agreements, challenges related to authentication, legal clarity, and consumer protection persist. Addressing these issues requires collaborative efforts from all stakeholders—lawmakers, businesses, consumers, and legal practitioners—to create a robust regulatory environment that supports Indonesia's transition into a digital economy leader. By implementing the recommendations outlined above—such as enhancing authentication processes, developing specific regulations for smart contracts, and strengthening consumer protection laws—Indonesia can overcome existing barriers and unlock the full potential of electronic contracting in its digital era.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to express our deepest gratitude to all those who contributed to the completion of this research to the journal editorial team and reviewers for their constructive feedback and guidance, which greatly enhanced the quality of this work. This study would not have been possible without the collective efforts or support of all those involved.

REFERENCES

Ariani, R. S., Setia Negara, T. A., & Masykur, M. H. (2024). The Legal Position of the Use of Blockchain Technology on the Validity of Stamp Duty in the Digital Age. *NEGREI: Academic Journal of Law and Governance*, *4*(1), 89–110. https://doi.org/10.29240/negrei.v4i1.10294

Artamonnikova, S. (2024). The Notarial Form of a Contract as a Way to Protect the Civil Rights of Minor Subjects in Electronic Transactions. *Legal Concept*, *23*(3), 135–139. https://doi.org/10.15688/lc.jvolsu.2024.3.18

Can Sauli Sibarani, A. (2024). The Application of the Principle of Good Faith in Business Contracts: A Civil Law Perspective. *Edusoshum : Journal of Islamic Education and Social Humanities*, *4*(3), 305–313. https://doi.org/10.52366/edusoshum.v4i3.124

Dahris Siregar, Afni Nirmala Deli Lase, Aguswina Hulu, Berkat Jaya Zendrato, Dina Rizka Yusra, Juwita Mentari, Suriati Lafau, & Dahlia Kusuma Dewi. (2022). The Legitimacy of Electronic Trading Contracts According to the Civil Code. *International Journal of Community Service (IJCS)*, *1*(2), 160–167. https://doi.org/10.55299/ijcs.v1i2.186

Danialsyah. (2023). Juridical Analysis of Court Decisions Regarding Civil Lawsuits from a Civil Law Perspective. *Jurnal Smart Hukum (JSH)*, *2*(2), 45–54. https://doi.org/10.55299/jsh.v2i2.705

Ferdhiyanto, M. A., TW, M. Y., Pamungkas, D., Dikrurahman, D., & Permana, D. Y. (2025). The Impact Of E-Commerce Development On The Implementation Of Consumer Protection Law In

Trade Law In Indonesia. *Journal of Social Research*, *4*(2), 310–316. https://doi.org/10.55324/josr.v4i2.2230
Iftinaity Shaumi Rahma, Hasiana, E. J., Cantika, S. L., & Octaviona, T. (2022). Indonesian Legal Protection for Consumers on the Validity of Electronic Contracts in the E-Commerce Transactions. *Yuridika*, *37*(3), 697–714. https://doi.org/10.20473/ydk.v37i3.36976
Irianto, S. (2024). Validity of Contracts in the Digital Era in Indonesia. *International Journal of Religion*, *5*(11), 5808–5817. https://doi.org/10.61707/7q34h809
Judijanto, L., & Khuan, H. (2024). Juridical Analysis of Law Number 11 of 2008 on Electronic Information and Transactions (ITE) and its Impact on Creative Economy Development in Indonesia. *West Science Law and Human Rights*, *2*(04), 404–411. https://doi.org/10.58812/wslhr.v2i04.1366
Jung, I. (2024). Week 7: Writing the Qualitative Methods Section. In *Pathways to International Publication in the Social Sciences* (pp. 135–145). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-0801-0_13
Kiswanto, D., & Harahap, H. H. (2024). The Effects on consumers of using refills under Law No. 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection. *Jurnal Smart Hukum (JSH)*, *3*(1), 20–28. https://doi.org/10.55299/jsh.v3i1.894
Lambi, M., & Siswani, C. B. (2024). Legal Protection For Consumers In Electronic Transactions. *Eduvest - Journal of Universal Studies*, *4*(1), 243–252. https://doi.org/10.59188/eduvest.v4i1.1018
Muzakkir, & Taufik Hidayat. (2025). JURIDICAL REVIEW ARTICLE 27A OF LAW NUMBER 1 OF 2024 CONCERNING THE SECOND AMENDMENT TO LAW NUMBER 11 OF 2008 CONCERNING INFORMATION AND ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, *19*(2), 238–249. https://doi.org/10.33059/jhsk.v19i2.10650
Santosa, S. H., & Purwaningsih, S. B. (2024). Unveiling Indonesia's Precautionary Principle for Trust in Digital Transactions. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Economics Review*, *19*(3). https://doi.org/10.21070/ijler.v19i3.1202
Sari, N., Kahar, S. A., Fahreza, Z., & Rishan, I. (2024). Legal Review of the Use of Smart Contracts in Cyber Notary Services with Blockchain Security Based on the Perspective of UUJN and UU ITE. *Sultan Agung Notary Law Review*, *6*(4). https://doi.org/10.30659/sanlar.v6i4.42417
Sharma, P., Subbarao, P. S., Sane, A., & Biradar, J. (2023). Guest editorial: Innovative practices in business, trade and commerce – challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, *15*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-03-2023-384
Syafa'i, I., & Megasari, I. D. (2024). Flexibility and Adaptation of Contract Law. *West Science Law and Human Rights*, *2*(04), 332–338. https://doi.org/10.58812/wslhr.v2i04.1323
Wardana, I. W. D. P., Dharmawan, N. K. S., & Astariyani, N. L. G. (2023). Legality for Electronic Signatures in Implementing Electronic Medical Records. *JMMR (Jurnal Medicoeticolegal Dan Manajemen Rumah Sakit)*, *12*(3), 366–378. https://doi.org/10.18196/jmmr.v12i3.96
Wilbert, W., Siregar, M., Sukarja, D., & Natcha, N. (2024). Legal Analysis of the Implications of the ASEAN Agreement on E-Commerce for Electronic Business Contracts in Indonesia. *Jurnal Suara Hukum*, *6*(1), 48–67. https://doi.org/10.26740/jsh.v6n1.p48-67
Winarsih, & Benny Djaja. (2024). Reviewing Article 1320 of the Civil Code in the APJB Case. *Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities*, *5*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v5i1.808